The effects of the immunosuppressant agent, mechlorethamine-HCl, on the immune response of domestic turkeys to <u>Histomonas</u> <u>meleagridis</u> infection

140

by

Yosiya Niyo

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty in Partial Fulfillment of The Requirements for the Degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE

Major Subject: Veterinary Pathology

Signatures have been redacted for privacy

Iowa State University Ames, Iowa

SF995.4 TABLE OF CONTEN	TS
N5x	Page
C. 2 INTRODUCTION	1
DRUTPL OF LITTERATION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATORE	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	13
Trial I	13
Trial II	19
Trial III	19
RESULTS	22
Trial I	22
Mortality	22
Body Weights	22
Hematologic Observations	22
SGOT Values	42
Serum Protein Studies	42
Histopathologic Studies	59
Trial II	86
Mortality	86
Body Weights	86
Hematologic Observations	91
SGOT Values	91
Serum Protein Studies	91
Histopathologic Studies	126
Trial III	128
Mortality	128
Body Weights	128

724665

ii

Hematologic Observations	128
SGOT Values	151
Serum Protein Studies	151
Histopathologic Studies	151
DISCUSSION	171
Mortality Rates	171
Weight Gains	171
Hematologic Values	172
Serum Protein Changes	173
SGOT Values	175
Histopathologic Studies	176
CONCLUSIONS AND SUMMARY	181
LITERATURE CITED	183
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	192

INTRODUCTION

<u>Histomonas meleagridis</u> is the etiologic agent of an acute fatal disease of turkey poults known as infectious enterohepatitis or histomonosis. The disease is characterized by the development of caseonecrotic typhlitis and focal necrotic hepatitis. Mortality is high in untreated cases. Use of dimetridazole, an anti-histomonal drug, results in remission of clinical signs and lesions and birds so treated are subsequently highly resistant to challenge with virulent histomonads. In these birds, the characteristic residual tissue change is the presence of numerous foci of lymphoid cells in the liver and cecal necks.

Immunization with attenuated cultures of histomonads establishes partial protection apparently expressed in cecal mucosa. This protection is easily broken down by challenge with embryonated <u>Heterakis gallinarum</u> eggs known to produce histomonosis. Precipitating and complement-fixing antibodies are demonstrable in sera from clinically ill and immunerecovered birds but transfer of such sera does not confer any protection to susceptible birds.

The nature of immunity established in birds that recover from the disease spontaneously or as a result of drug therapy is incompletely understood. However, the presence of large numbers of lymphoid cell accumulations in the liver and ceca in immune recovered birds suggests that these cells may be

involved in mediation of immunity which is established in these birds.

This study was designed to investigate the response of recovered immune birds to challenge with virulent histomonads after these residual lymphoid foci have been destroyed by the use of nitrogen mustard, a chemical immunosuppressant agent. It is believed that knowledge obtained from this study will serve as a basis for future studies involving identification and transplantation of specific cells involved in immunity to this protozoan disease.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Histomonosis or infectious enterohepatitis is a protozoan disease of many gallinaceous birds. In the turkey, it is an acute disease with a high mortality rate. Smith (1895) reported the disease in turkeys and attributed it to a proiozoan parasite which he named Amoeba meleagridis. The organism was later reclassified in Zoomastigina as Histomonas meleagridis by Tyzzer (1920) after he studied its pleomorphic nature and determined that it was a flagellate. Since then, the disease has been extensively studied by several investigators with regard to etiology (Bradley and Reid, 1966; Goedbloed and Bool, 1962; Kemp and Reid, 1966b; Tyzzer, 1920, 1927, 1932, 1934a; Tyzzer and Collier, 1925; Tyzzer and Fabyan, 1922) and transmission (Graybill and Smith, 1920; Horton-Smith and Long, 1956; Lund and Burtner, 1957; McGuire and Morehouse, 1958; Tyzzer and Fabyan, 1920). It is generally agreed that Histomonas meleagridis is the etiologic agent of the so-called "blackhead" or infectious enterohepatitis of turkeys.

Graybill and Smith (1920) produced the disease in the turkeys by feeding them embryonated <u>Heterakis gallinarum</u> eggs. Two years later, Tyzzer and Fabyan (1922) clearly demonstrated the transmission of <u>Histomonas meleagridis</u> by <u>Heterakis</u> ova and this finding was later substantiated by other workers (Gibbs, 1962; Lund and Burtner, 1957; McKay and Morehouse.

1947). Lund <u>et al</u>. (1966) provided conclusive evidence showing that earthworms are biologic vectors for <u>Heterakis gall</u>-<u>inarum</u>. Poultry may acquire the disease (histomonosis) by ingesting embryonated <u>Heterakis gallinarum</u> eggs provided these eggs are harboring virulent histomonads.

The disease has been extensively studied with respect to pathogenesis and development of the lesions (Clarkson, 1962; Farmer et al., 1951; McGuire and Morehouse, 1958; Malewitz et al., 1958). Infection is thought to start in the ceca where the initial response is characterized by hyperemia, leukocytic infiltration of submucosa and thickening of the cecal wall. There is sloughing of cecal epithelium. Later a caseonecrotic core forms in the cecal lumen. Rupture of the capillaries allows histomonads to enter the liver via the hepatic portal veins (Clarkson, 1962; McGuire and Morehouse, 1958). Once in the liver, histomonads continue to multiply and destroy much of the parenchyma. The affected birds finally die due to loss of functional liver tissue, dehydration, and inadequate feed consumption. Histological examination of turkeys that die from the disease shows a severe caseonecrotic typhlitis and a multiple focal necrotic hepatitis. Birds that recover from the disease naturally or as a result of drug therapy show numerous lymphoid foci in both the ceca and liver.

Doll and Franker (1963) were unable to induce histomono-

sis in bacteria-free turkeys and suggested that the pathogenesis and development of lesions seen in this disease may be due to a combined action of <u>Histomonas</u> and the normal intestinal flora. Franker and Doll (1964) produced the disease in previously bacteria-free hosts by administering certain of the normal bacterial species along with histomonads. Bradley and Reid (1966) were unable to induce the disease in turkeys using <u>Histomonas meleagridis</u> in the absence of <u>E</u>. <u>coli</u> and suggested a dual etiology involving a protozoan (<u>H</u>. <u>meleagridis</u>) and a bacterium (<u>E</u>. <u>coli</u>) for histomonosis.

Some of the host responses to the disease have been partially characterized by several investigators. Johnson and Lange (1939) studied blood smears from experimentally infected turkeys and compared these results with those they had obtained from a study of natural cases. In both instances, blood cell alterations were similar. They reported finding a marked heterophilia which appeared 24 hours postinfection and persisted until death of the birds. Infected birds also showed a myelocytosis and anemia in terminal stages of the disease.

McGuire and Cavett (1952) reported a progressive increase in total leukocyte count beginning with the appearance of "early clinical signs" and continuing throughout the course of the disease. There was a sixfold increase in the number of heterophils just before death. Lymphocytes increased early

in the course of the disease but began to decrease rapidly as the disease progressed.

Malewitz and Calhoun (1957) studied blood cell response of the infected turkeys and reported that those that eventually died from infection showed a persistent heterophilia and lymphopenia. They did not find any significant changes in monocyte, eosinophil, or basophil counts. They also reported a drop in hemoglobin levels and lowered total erythrocyte count.

Bierer (1969) analysed turkey serum and extablished that the usual normal serum protein fractions were present. Alterations in serum protein values of histomonas-infected turkeys were reported by Clarkson (1959). He found that serum gamma globulins increased fivefold while serum albumin levels fell to approximately one-half the normal level. Clarkson (1966) attempted to correlate serum protein changes in histomonas-infected poults with pathological changes observed in the liver and ceca. He suggested that the escape of albumin from inflamed ceca into the cecal lumen resulted in the initial fall in serum albumin level. Further reduction in albumin, noted later in the disease, was attributed to the inability of the damaged liver to adequately produce albumin. He also suggested that "either an immunologic response" and/or "a non-specific response to tissue damage" accounted for the rise in gamma globulins.

Histomonosis has also been studied in chickens, a species

in which the disease generally tends to run a milder course. Venkataratnam and Clarkson (1963) studied alterations in blood cell response of chicken infected with <u>Histomonas meleagridis</u> and observed an increase in the total number of leukocytes from 30,000 to 70,000 cells/cu. mm., 10 days postinfection. This rise was chiefly because of an increase in total number of heterophils and lymphocytes. Eosinophils and monocytes were also increased but no significant changes were observed in either total erythrocytes or basophil counts. All counts had returned to within normal range by 21 days postinfection. Histopathologic studies of the involved tissues revealed that lesions in both ceca and liver coincided with increases in heterophil response in tissues and peripheral blood while lymphocytes, monocytes, and eosinophils were most prominent in the recovery phase.

Serum protein changes in histomonas-infected chickens have been partially investigated (Beg and Clarkson, 1970; McDougald and Hansen, 1969). Serum albumin levels tended to fall early in the course of the disease but returned to near normal levels as the lesions resolved. Total globulin levels increased during the course of the disease and were significantly higher than in the control birds, 12 days postinfection (McDougald and Hansen, 1969). Beg and Clarkson (1970) found marked increases primarily in gamma globulin levels.

Serum enzyme changes associated with the disease have been reported by McDougald and Hansen (1970). These workers

found significant increases in both lactic dehydrogenase and serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT) in histomonasinfected turkeys and chickens. Increases in enzyme levels correlated with tissue breakdown in the liver and ceca.

McGuire and Cavett (1952) reported on changes in blood uric acid content and non-protein nitrogen during the course of the disease. The level of blood uric acid dropped early in the course of the disease, returned to near normal as the clinical signs became severe, and was markedly elevated in the terminal stages of the disease. Non-protein nitrogen values decreased as the disease progressed except on the day of death when the values were near normal.

Several workers have contributed to the study of histomonosis immunity. Tyzzer (1932, 1934b, 1936) used cultureattenuated strains of histomonads in his immunity studies of the disease. He found that he could establish only partial protection, since challenge with a virulent strain of histomonads produced the disease.

Lund (1959) challenged turkeys which he had immunized with a non-pathogenic strain of <u>Histomonas</u> and obtained variable results. These turkeys were refractory to the disease when challenged by intra-rectal inoculation of histomonads but this resistance broke down when it was challenged by means of embryonated <u>Heterakis</u> eggs. From these findings he suggested that an immune component was established on the surface of cecal mucosa, but was not effective against migrating

Heterakis larvae, which penetrated the epithelium.

Ruff and Hansen (1970) have shown that use of gammairradiated histomonads does not confer solid immunity to susceptible turkeys.

Several investigators have studied the immunity in turkeys that had recovered from the disease after drug therapy. Swales (1950) found that birds developed resistance to reinfection if treatment with 2-amino-5-nitrothiazole (Enheptin-T) was instituted after early cecal lesions had developed while complete suppression of early cecal lesions left these birds susceptible to reinfection. Kendall (1957) found that turkeys which recovered from experimental infection after sodium acetarsol therapy resisted reinfection. Clarkson (1963) reported that chickens that spontaneously recovered from the disease and turkeys that recovered from infection after acinitrazole (Entramin A) therapy developed "protective immunity". Clarkson (1966) suggested that immunization against histomonosis was best accomplished by initiating chemotherapy after the disease was well established in the cecal mucosa.

Precipitating antibodies are detectable in <u>Histomonas</u>infected birds and in immune, recovered birds (Clarkson, 1963), but attempts to immunize susceptible birds by means of whole blood or serum transfer (Clarkson, 1963) have failed to confer any protective immunity.

Pertinent information on some of the immune mechanisms

in avian species has come from studies of cecal coccidiosis. Protective immunity develops in chickens that recover from Eimeria tenella infection. Work by Burns and Challey (1959) and Horton-Smith et al. (1961) demonstrated that resistance to cecal coccidiosis could be transferred from an infected cecum to a previously isolated and uninfected cecum, presumably via the circulation. Leathem and Burns (1967) pointed out that while sporozoites of Eimeria tenella are capable of invading cecal mucosa of immune birds, their developmental stages are suppressed. Tyzzer (1929) and Pierce and Long (1965) were unable to transfer protective immunity from birds immune to Eimeria tenella infection to susceptible chickens by means of blood or serum. However, Rose (1971) has indicated that serum taken from birds infected with Eimeria maxima will protect susceptible chickens. She points out that, transfer of large quantities of serum between days 14 and 21 postinfection offers the best protection.

When immune birds are challenged, the characteristic tissue response is massive infiltration of cecal submucosa by "pyroninophilic cells" which resemble plasma cells (Horton-Smith, 1963). The glandular crypts and surrounding connective tissue are heavily infiltrated by heterophils. Immunity established by <u>Eimeria tenella</u> infection is species specific (Rose and Long, 1962; Tyzzer, 1929). Evidence presented by Pierce and Long (1965) suggests that acquired immunity to cecal coccidiosis is largely cellular rather than

humoral in nature since destruction of the bursa of Fabricius without destroying the thymus does not appear to significantly influence the response to challenge.

Although whole body irradiation, immunosuppressive drugs, thymectomy, and bursectomy have been used extensively in a variety of studies on the immune responses of the chicken (Cooper <u>et al</u>., 1966; Dent and Good, 1965; Glick <u>et al</u>., 1957; Graetzer <u>et al</u>., 1963; Janković and Isaković, 1966; Lerman and Weidanz, 1970; St. Pierre and Ackerman, 1965; Warner and Szenberg, 1962; Warner <u>et al</u>., 1962; Weber and Weidanz, 1969), few investigators have utilized these techniques in the study of immune response to protozoan infections. Studies by Farmer and Breitenback (1968) and Longenecker <u>et al</u>. (1966) indicate that bursectomy lowers resistance to <u>Plasmodium</u> lophurae infections in chicken.

The immunosuppressive property of nitrogen mustard is due to its cytotoxic activity on all rapidly dividing cells in the body with the primary action probably being the alkylation of nucleic acids, thus blocking replication and cell division. However, lymphocytes tend to be more sensitive to the action of mechlorethamine than do any of the cells of the granulocytic series (Calabrensi and Parks, 1970).

Taliaferro and Taliaferro (1948) reported that administration of nitrogen mustard to chickens lowered their acquired immunity to malaria infections. Similar immune suppression had been reported by Philip et al. (1947) in

immune goats. Seto and Henderson (1968) used nitrogen mustard and irradiation to suppress immune responses of young chickens to mammalian erythrocytes and obtained comparable results with the two techniques.

Suppression of immune responses of <u>Histomonas</u>-infected turkeys were partially investigated by Kemp (1970). He found that birds which had been treated with mechlorethamine HCl either developed less severe or no lesions. The histopathologic alterations in the liver consisted of coagulation necrosis with minimal inflammatory reaction. Numerous histomonads were present in the liver and ceca. Total leukocyte count was markedly depressed and survival time was longer in these birds than in the infected control group. A suggestion that "normal host inflammatory response to histomonads in the liver may contribute to the virulence of the disease" was advanced.

The drug, 1,2-dimethyl-5-nitroimidazole (dimetridazole), is an effective cure for histomonosis (Joyner <u>et al</u>., 1966; J.M.S. Lucas <u>et al</u>., 1961, 1962, 1963; McGuire <u>et al</u>., 1964), even when treatment is delayed until both cecal and liver lesions have developed (Morehouse <u>et al</u>., 1968).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trial I

Source and care of animals

Twenty-five Williams broadwhite day-old poults used in this trial were purchased from a commercial hatchery.¹ They were wing-banded for identification and maintained in a brooder battery for the first 3 weeks before being transferred to a growing battery where they were kept throughout the course of the experiment. All birds were maintained <u>ad</u> <u>libitum</u> on a commercial, non-medicated starter ration, and water.

Initial infection with Heterakis gallinarum eggs

At 7 days of age, each poult was given <u>per os</u> approximately 500 embryonated <u>Heterakis</u> eggs from a stock that had been shown to induce fatal histomonosis in susceptible turkeys. This dose served as the initial immunizing infection.

Weights

All birds were weighed each time prior to collection of blood samples.

¹Jerome Turkey Hatchery, Inc., Barron, Wisconsin.

Collection of blood samples, total and differential leukocyte

Starting on the 7th day postinfection approximately 1 ml. blood was collected from each poult twice weekly throughout the course of the experiment. Disposable tuberculin syringes were used to collect blood from the jugular vein. Blood was immediately transferred into 12 by 75 mm. glass tubes. A drop of blood from each sample was used for leukocyte and differential counts. Total leukocyte counts were estimated using the Rees-Ecker Method as reported by Lucas and Jamroz (1961). The total number of cells counted from the four corner squares of a hemocytometer counting chamber were multiplied by 50 to give the total leukocyte count per cubic millimeter. Slide smears were air-dried and stained with standard Wright's Stain for differential leukocyte counts. Myelocytes were counted as heterophils.

Collection of serum samples

Approximately 1 ml. of the blood sample collected was allowed to stand (in tubes) at room temperature for 1 hour or until the clot had retracted. The blood was centrifuged at 2000 r.p.m. for 20 minutes. By means of Pasteur pipettes, serum was removed from the clot and placed in evacuated, silicone-coated glass tubes¹ provided with rubber stoppers.

¹"B-D Vacutainers", Becton-Dickinson and Co., Columbus, Nebraska.

The serum was kept frozen until total serum protein, serum protein fractions and serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT) determinations were made, usually 1-3 weeks later.

Treatment with 1,2-dimethyl-5-nitroimidazole

Poults were observed daily for any clinical signs of the disease. After the disease was well established in the liver, as indicated by passage of "sulfur-yellow" droppings, each poult was treated with an oral dose of the drug, 1,2-dimethyl-5-nitroimidazole (Dimetridazole)¹, at the rate of 62.5 mg/kg. Daily observations were continued until all the birds appeared to have recovered. Passage of normal droppings and the apparent return of total leukocyte counts to within the normal range, were taken as indicators of recovery. Poults that died during this period were necropsied. Gross observations of the tissues were made and results were recorded. Tissues from these necropsies were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and saved for further processing for histopathologic studies.

Fifteen recovered birds were divided into 3 groups, of 5 birds each, as follows:

Group 1 - Immune control birds.
Group 2 - Heterakis-egg challenged birds.
Group 3 - Chemical immunosuppression followed by challenge
with Heterakis eggs.

¹Dimetridazole was kindly donated by Dr. T. A. Rude, from Salsbury Laboratories, Charles City, Iowa.

Administration of an immunosuppressant

After recovery, each of the poults in Group 3 received intravenous mechlorethamine-HCl, Methylbis(-Chloroethyl)amine HCl^1 , (HN_2) at the rate of 1 1/3 mg/kg. every 3rd day for 2 weeks.

Challenge with embryonated Heterakis eggs

Ten days after administration of the initial dose of mechlorethamine-HCl to poults in Group 3, poults in Groups 2 and 3 were challenged with an oral dose of approximately 500 <u>Heterakis</u> eggs obtained from the same culture stock that was used for initial immunization infection. These 2 groups were kept in cages below that holding Group 1. This housing arrangement was designed to minimize possible reinfection of Group 1 by Groups 2 and 3, through contamination.

Necropsy examination

Birds that died during the course of the experiment were necropsied. All surviving birds in the 3 groups were necropsied 25 days after administration of challenge dose. Gross lesions were described and the following tissue samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and saved for further processing for histopathologic studies:

Myocardium

Lung

¹Methylbis(-Chloroethyl)-amine HCl by Pfaltz and Bauer, Inc.

Liver Kidney Spleen Bursa of Fabricius Ceca Small Intestine

Serum protein determination

Total serum protein values for each serum sample were determined by the Goldberg refractometer method. Actual values expressed in gm/100 ml. were read directly from AO/TS Meter, Model 10400¹.

Serum glutamic oxalacetate assay

Serum samples which had been frozen were allowed to thaw at room temperature. Each sample was divided into aliquots. One portion was used for serum electrophoresis and the other was diluted with distilled water. The latter portion was used in 1:6 dilution for SGOT assay. The enzyme was assayed by the colorimetric method of Reitman and Frankel (1957). Procedure and reagents as outlined in Sigma Technical Bulletin No. 505^2 were used for the test. A Coleman, Junior Model 6A Spectrophotometer³ at 505 mu. was used for all readings. SGOT levels

¹American Optical Instrument Company, Buffalo, N.Y. 14215 ²Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, Missouri. ³Coleman Instruments, Inc., Maywood, Illinois.

were expressed in Sigma-Frankel units/ml. of serum.

Serum electrophoresis

Serum electrophoretic separations were done on cellulose acetate electrophoresis membranes. A freshly prepared, high resolution barbital buffer, pH 8.6 with an ionic strength of 0.075, was used. Electrophoretic separation was carried out at a constant voltage of 250 V and 15-20 mA for 1 hour in a Brinkmann electrophoresis chamber¹. The membranes were stained in Ponceau-S-dye², decolorized in 5% acetic acid, dehydrated in ethanol, cleared, and dried. These cleared membrane strips were later scanned on a recording densitometer/ integrator³. The concentration of each serum fraction was expressed as grams per cent of total and as a relative per cent of the total serum protein.

Preparation of tissues for histopathologic studies

All tissues were prepared for sectioning by the standard ethanol dehydration and paraffin embedding techniques. The routine stain used was hematoxylin and eosin. Selected tissues were also stained with the periodic acid-Schiff (PAS)

¹Brinkmann Instruments, Inc., New York, N.Y.

²Industrial Chemicals Division, Morristown, N.J.

³Gelman Instruments Company, Ann Arbor, Mich.

technique for better visualization of histomonads (Kemp and Reid, 1966a).

Trial II

In this trial, 25 poults were purchased as day old poults from the same source as those used in Trial I. Experimental procedures were similar to those of Trial I with few exceptions. Thirteen recovered birds were divided into 3 groups as follows:

Group 1 - Immune control birds (4 birds).

Group 2 - Heterakis-egg challenged birds (4 birds).

Group 3 - Chemical immunosuppression and challenge with <u>Heterakis</u> eggs (5 birds).

In this trial the immunosuppressant, HN_2 , was given to Group 3 on the same day that Groups 2 and 3 were challenged with embryonated <u>Heterakis</u> eggs as opposed to Trial I in which immunosuppression was started 10 days prior to challenge. The dosage was also increased from 1 1/3 mg/kg. every 3 days to 4 mg/kg. and was given on days 0, 4, and 9 postchallenge.

Trial III

Twenty-nine poults in this trial were purchased as dayold poults from Thompson Hatchery, Ellsworth, Iowa. Care of animals and handling of blood samples were similar to that in Trials I and II. However, the mechanics of this trial varied slightly from those of previous trials. The timing of HN₂ administration was altered in order to determine if this would make any difference in the immunosuppressive effects already detected in Trials I and II. Birds in Trial III were divided into 5 groups as follows:

Group 1 - Consisted of 5 uninfected control birds. Group 2, 3, and 4 - Nineteen birds which had been infect-

> ed, treated, and recovered were arbitrarily divided into 3 groups of 6, 7, and 6 birds each, respectively.

- Group 2 Birds in this group were later challenged with Heterakis eggs.
- Group 3 Each bird in this group was given HN₂, intravenously, at the rate of 1 1/3 mg/kg/day for 5 days starting 2 days before challenge.
- Group 4 Birds in Group 4 were not given HN₂ until 7 days postchallenge. Dosage and duration of treatment were identical to those used in Group 3.
- Group 5 Which consisted of birds that had not been previously infected, was infected at the time of challenge. This group served as the infected control group.

At challenge, all birds in Groups 2, 3, 4, and 5 were infected <u>per os</u> with approximately 500 <u>Heterakis</u> eggs/bird from the original culture.

RESULTS

Trial I

Mortality

Mortality in Groups 1, 2, and 3 were 2/5, 1/5, and 3/5, respectively.

Body Weights

Data for body weights of different groups are given in Table 1. There were no significant differences with regard to weight gains among all groups until a few days after challenge when birds in Group 3 began to lose weight (Figure 1).

Hematologic Observations

Total leukocyte counts

Detailed results of the total leukocyte counts for the 3 groups are summarized in Table 2. The typical leukocyte response in all groups was an initial leukocytosis which was quite marked 12 to 14 days postinfection. This was followed by a gradual decrease in leukocytes after treatment with dimetridazole and the counts soon fell to within the normal range (Figure 2). The total leukocyte numbers in Group 2, rose after challenge with <u>Heterakis</u> eggs and remained slightly above control values (Group 1) for the remainder of the trial period. Leukopenia developed in Group 3 while

Days Post- infection	1	Group <u>Numbers</u> 2	3
7 12 15 19 22 26 30 33 35 40 44 47 50 54 57	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 116 & (5) \\ 132 & (5) \\ 161 & (5) \\ 200 & (5) \\ 236 & (5) \\ 305 & (5) \\ 360 & (5) \\ 426 & (5) \\ 416 & (5) \\ 416 & (5) \\ 514 & (4) \\ 635 & (2) \\ 780 & (2) \\ 881 & (2) \\ 767 & (2) \\ 727 & (2) \end{array}$

Table 1. Comparison of average weights (in grams) of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

a Total number of birds used.

Table 2. Comparison of average total leukocyte counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

Days Post- infection	1		Group Numb 2	ers	3	
7 12 15 19 22 26 30 33 35 40 44 47 50 54 57	19,600 54,550 32,750 22,750 19,250 19,400 15,650 24,650 24,650 26,850 38,650 26,884 25,300 23,050 33,900	(5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (4) (4) (3) (3)	27,000 48,250 46,600 26,700 32,750 17,850 17,300 19,900 14,550 17,950 25,500 24,450 41,400 43,900 50,600	((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((25,050 55,500 40,800 19,000 25,300 23,250 12,050 10,450 5,000 2,500 800 850 13,000 54,850 72,250	(5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)

a Total number of birds used.

Figure 1. Progressive changes in the body weights of the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.

Infection at 7 days of age



Figure 2. Total leukocyte response pattern of the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.

Infection at 7 days of age



birds in this group were being treated with HN₂. During this time, circulating leukocyte numbers fell below 3,000 cells/cu. mm. Following challenge with <u>Heterakis</u> eggs, there was a marked leukocytosis which persisted until the trial was terminated (Figure 2). This group had an average cell count of over 72,000 cells/cu. mm. when the trial was terminated, 57 days after infection.

Differential leukocyte counts

Results of differential leukocyte counts are summarized in Tables 3 through 7. Total heterophil and lymphocyte counts were markedly elevated 12 to 14 days postinfection. This was followed by a gradual fall in numbers of both cell types to normal counts after treatment with dimetridazole. Transient increases in absolute numbers of heterophils were detected in Group 1 on days 44 and 57 postinfection but there was no corresponding increase in the total number of lymphocytes. Significant and persistent increases of heterophils and lymphocytes were observed in Group 2 starting with day 47 postinfection (12 days postchallenge).

Group 3 had a progressive decrease in absolute heterophil and lymphocyte numbers while on treatment with HN₂. The decrease was more marked in heterophil counts than in the lymphocyte counts (Figures 3, 4, 5, 6). After withdrawal of HN₂, counts of both cell types began to increase rapidly, particularly the heterophil counts which averaged well over

period				
Days Post- infection	1	Group Numbers 2	3	
7	(63.80) ^a 12,300 ^b	(69.60) 19,300	(58.80) 15,150	
12	(49.20) 28,500	(57.00) 27,800	(57.20) 31,600	
15	(45.40) 15,350	(62.40) 28,800	(62.00) 26,550	
19	(56.80) 13,260	(61.40) 16,350	(57.80) 10,950	
22	(53.40) 10,450	(54.40) 17,800	(58.80) 15,950	
26	(54.80) 10,650	(51.20) 9,150	(59.40) 13,900	
30	(56.00) 8,600	(57.80) 9,800	(64.40) 7,950	
33	(56.25) 13,800	(54.20) 10,950	(64.00) 7.150	
35	(53.00) 12,250	(44.60) 6.750	(63.20) 3.150	
40	(51.25) 14,900	(53.00) 9.550	(44.75)	
44	(63.25) 25,350	(56.00) 13,950	(45.00)	
47	(55.33)	(64.75) 16,500	(11.00)	
50	(48.67) 12,250	(55.50) 23,750	(39.00)	
54	(61.00) 14,200	(65.75) 28,950	(79.50) 43.350	
57	(65.67) 23,500	(61.50) 32,700	(70.00)	

Table 3. Comparison of the average total heterophil counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental

aReported as percentage.

bReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

Figure 3. Absolute numbers of heterophils in the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.

Infection at 7 days of age



Figure 4. Relative numbers of heterophils (expressed in per cent) for the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.

Infection at 7 days of age


Det	Post-	ou	Croup Numbers	
inf	ection	1	Group Numbers	3
7		(0.20)a 43 b	(0.00)	(0.20) 33
12		(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.40) 164
15		(0.00)	(0.40) 166	(0.00)
19		(0.00) Q	(0.40)	(0.20)
22		(0.80) 143	(0.00)	(0.20)
26		(0.20) 25	(0.40) 75	(0.00)
30		(0.40) 61	(0.80) 163	(0.20) 29
33		(0.00)	(0.20) 39	(0.60) 52
35		(0.00)	(0.60) 95	(0.80)
40		(0.25) 50	(0.00)	(1.25) 35
44		(0.50) 271	(0.75) 179	(0.00)
47		(1.00) 261	(1.25) 278	(0.00)
50		(1.00) 296	(0.75) 441	(0.00)
54		(0.67) 193	(0.25) 115	(0.50) 298
57		(0.00)	(1.00) 701	(2.00)

Table 4. Comparison of the average total eosinophil counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aReported as percentage.

^bReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

Days Post-	1	Group Numbers	3
7	(0.00)a 0 b	(0.80) 164	(0.80) 179
12	(0.20)	(0.00)	(0.00)
15	(0.60) 169	(0.00)	(0.20) 41
19	(0.00)	(0.40) 86	(0.20) 37
22	(0.20) 50	(0.80) 273	(0.40) 126
26	(0.40) 50	(0.60) 126	(0.20) 59
30	(0.60) 105	(0.20) 23	(0.60)
33	(2.25) 569	(1.20) 218	(1.00) 93
35	(1.00) 179	(2.80) 292	(3.00) 157
40	(1.75) 401	(0.50) 67	(2.00)
44	(1.00) 402	(2.00) 71 8	(1.00)
47	(3.00) 1,270	(1.50) 462	(7.00)
50	(1.33) 265	(1.25) 443	(1.50)
54	(0.67) 193	(1.00) 835	(0.00)
57	(0.67) 270	(1.25) 994	(2.50) 1.928

Table 5. Comparison of the average total monocyte counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as percentage.

^bReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

Days Post- infection	1	Group Numbers 2	3
7	(31.40)a	(27.00)	(36.80)
	6,300 b	6,850	8,900
12	(45.40)	(37.60)	(39.80)
	23,350	17,800	22,500
15	(48.60) 15,350	(32.20) 15,250	(34.80) 13,150
19	(36.80)	(34.00)	(38.40)
	7,950	9,150	7,350
22	(41.00)	(37.60)	(35.80)
	7,750	12,300	8,000
26	(37.60) 7,300	(40.40) 7,300	(35.60) 8,150
30	(37.40) 6,000	(34.60) 6,100	(29.40) 3,400
33	(37.00)	(35.40)	(28.40)
	9,300	7,100	2,600
35	(43.25)	(44.80)	(27.60)
	9,900	6,250	1,350
40	(41.75)	(41.75)	(45.25)
	10,150	7.500	1,150
44	(30.50) 11,300	(37.50) 9,950	(51.00)
47	(37.00)	(28.00)	(76.00)
	9,350	6,150	650
50	(44.33) 11,200	(38.00) 15,000	(58.00) 7,300
54	(32.67) 7,650	(29.75) 13,300	(20.50) 11,500
57	(29.67) 9,000	(32.25) 15,500	(24.00) 18,800

Table 6. Comparison of the average total lymphocyte counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as percentage.

^bReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

Figure 5. Absolute numbers of lymphocytes in the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



Figure 6. Relative numbers of lymphocytes (expressed in per cent) for the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



Days Post- infection	1	2	3
7	(4.60)a	(2.20)	(3.40)
	944 b	571	761
12	(5.20)	(5.40)	(2.60)
	2,573	2,635	1,220
15	(5.40)	(5.00)	(2.80)
	1,885	2,355	961
19	(6.40)	(3.80)	(3.40)
	1,505	988	635
22 .	(4.60) 876	(7.20) 2,370	(4.60) 1,056
26	(7.00)	(7.20)	(4.80)
	1,386	1.188	1,114
30	(5.60)	(6.60)	(5.40)
	843	1,221	645
33	(4.50) 982	(9.00) 1,614	(6.00) 584
35	(2.75)	(7.20)	(5.20)
	555	1,149	281
40	(5.00)	(4.75)	(6.75)
	1,349	732	180
44	(4.75)	(3.75) 822	(3.00)
47	(3.67) 1,005	(4.50) 1,053	(6.00)
50	(4.67)	(4.50)	(1.50)
	1,291	1,782	181
54	(5.00) 787	(3.25) 732	(0.00)
57	(4.00)	(4.00)	(1.50)
	1,051	716	1,448

Table 7. Comparison of the average total basophil counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as percentage.

^bReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

48,000 cells/cu. mm. at the time the trial was terminated.

Eosinophils were infrequently seen and when present, they accounted for less than 2 per cent of the cells counted. No significant changes were observed in either the monocyte or basophil counts among all groups.

SGOT Values

Data for SGOT values for the 3 groups are given in Table 8. Levels for serum glutamic-oxalacetate (SGOT) activity did not vary markedly from group to group. Instead, greater variations were observed among individual birds than between groups.

Serum Protein Studies

Results for total serum protein and serum protein fractions are summarized in Tables 9 through 14. After challenge with <u>Heterakis</u> eggs, slightly higher total serum protein levels were observed in Group 2 than in Group 3 (Figure 7). Significant variations were observed in the serum albumin and gamma globulin fractions. A reduction of as much as 30 to 50 per cent in serum albumin levels was detected in all groups 12 days postinfection (Figures 8 and 9). This was followed by a rapid rise to "normal levels" after all birds were treated with dimetridazole.

After challenge with Heterakis eggs, albumin levels in

And the second se		The second se	Name of Contract o
Days Post- infection	1	2	3
7 12 15 19 22 26 30	$\begin{array}{c} 379.20^{a} (5)^{b} \\ 279.60 (5) \\ 313.20 (5) \\ 320.80 (5) \\ 319.20 (5) \\ 288.00 (5) \\ 284.80 (5) \\ 198.00 (1) \end{array}$	355.50 (4) 318.00 (5) 333.20 (5) 300.80 (5) 274.80 (5) 278.50 (4) 312.00 (5) 268.80 (5)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
35 40 44 47 50 54	$\begin{array}{c} 358.50 & (4) \\ 237.00 & (4) \\ 201.00 & (4) \\ 238.00 & (3) \\ 238.00 & (3) \\ 262.00 & (3) \\ 264.00 & (3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
57	224.00 (3)	178.00 (3)	342.00

Table 8. Comparison of serum glutamic-oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT) activity in three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aSGOT reported in Sigma-Frankel Units.

^bTotal number of birds used.

Table	9.	Compart	ison	of	tota	al	serum	pr	otein	values	of	three
		groups	of	turk	eys	du	ring	the	exper	rimental	. pe	eriod

Days Post-		Group Numbers	
infection	1	2	3
7	2.82ª (5)b	2.60 (5)	2.60 (5)
12	2.82 (5)	3.34 (5)	2.94 (5)
15	3.02 (5)	3.58 (5)	3.38 (5)
19	3.38 (5)	3.78 (5)	3.50 (5)
22	3.50 (5)	3.84 (5)	3.38 (5)
26	3.52 (5)	3.72 (5)	3.36 (5)
30	3.80 (5)	4.00 (5)	3.30 (5)
33	3.82 (4)	4.12 (5)	3.56 (5)
35	4.05 (4)	4.68 (5)	3.28 (5)
40	4.55 (4)	3.63 (4)	2.72 (4)
44	4.07 (4)	3.77 (4)	3.25 (2)
47	5.03 (3)	4.15 (4)	3.45 (2)
50	4.90 (3)	4.10 (4)	2.95 (2)
54	3.77 (3)	4.07 (4)	3.40 (2)
57	4.07 (3)	3.75 (4)	3.30 (2)

aReported as grams/100 ml. of serum.

^bTotal number of birds used.

Figure 7. Progressive changes in total serum protein in the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



	period			
Days Post- infection	1		Group Numbers 2	3
7	1.14 a (40.30)°	(5) ^b	0.98 (5) (37.42)	0.94 (5) (36.13)
12	0.74 (25.38)	(5)	0.65 (5) (19.39)	0.65 (5) (21.83)
15	0.78 (26.03)	(5)	0.92 (5) (25.64)	0.95 (5) (27.75)
19	1.19 (35.66)	(5)	1.30 (5) (34.63)	1.17 (5) (33.72)
22	1.30 (37.15)	(5)	1.46 (5) (38.43)	1.31 (5) (39.57)
26	1.42 (40.63)	(5)	1.37 (5) (36.93)	1.27 (5) (37.87)
30	1.39 (36.65)	(5)	1.58 (5) (39.57)	1.26 (5) (37.87)
33	1.54 (40.38)	(4)	1.62 (5) (39.32)	1.21 (5) (33.20)
35	1.34 (31.75)	(4)	1.58 (5) (33.91)	1.02 (5) (30.07)
40	1.12 (26.64)	(4)	1.34 (4) (37.21)	0.87 (4) (27.79)
44	1.56 (36.01)	(4)	1.48 (4) (39.96)	1.26 (2) (38.64)
47	1.47 (32.82)	(3)	1.34 (4) (34.18)	1.41 (2) (41.08)
50	1.65	(3)	(27.19) (4)	$(29.45)^{0.87}$ (2)
54	1.25 (32.52)	(3)	0.93 (4) (22.68)	0.45 (2) (13.31)
57	1.16 (31.42)	(3)	0.77 (4) (20.78)	$(6.24)^{0.21}$ (2)

Table 10. Comparison of total serum albumin concentration in three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as grams percent.

^bTotal number of birds used. ^cReported as relative percent. Figure 8. Progressive changes in serum albumin concentration (expressed in grams per cent) for the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN2-treated.



Figure 9. Progressive changes in serum albumin concentration (expressed as per cent of the total serum protein) in the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



2 2 1		A	
Days Post- infection	1	Group <u>Numbers</u> 2	3
7	0.26 a (9.32)b	0.24 (9.11)	0.21 (8.20)
12	0.22 (7.77)	0.34 (9.94)	0.32 (11.01)
15	0.31 (10.45)	0.39 (10.87)	0.31 (9.14)
19	0.38 (11.39)	0.36 (9.48)	0.37 (10.73)
22	0.39 (11.13)	0.37 (9.62)	0.35 (10.55)
26	0.35 (9.90)	0.41 (10.99)	0.35 (10.62)
30	0.43 (11.30)	0.46 (11.44)	0.37 (11.42)
33	0.39 (10.29)	0.36 (8.84)	0.41 (11.74)
35	0.46 (11.69)	0.49 (10.62)	0.31 (9.79)
40	0.48 (11.14)	0.41 (11.45)	0.40
44	0.46 (11.10)	0.38 (10.30)	0.32
47	0.40	0.41	0.32
50	0.36 (7.97)	0.42	0.37
54	0.32 (8.79)	0.41 (10.24)	0.43
57	0.32 (8.17)	0.29 (8.58)	0.28

Table 11. Comparison of total serum alpha 1 globulin concentration in three groups during the experimental period

aReported as grams percent.

bReported as relative percent.

Devis Deet	rimentar period	Course Number	
infection	1	Group Numbers 2	3
?	0.45 a (16.00)b	0.46 (18.07)	0.47 (18.47)
12	0.63 (23.33)	0.72 (21.59)	0.63 (21.30)
15	0.51 (16.71)	0.57 (15.85)	0.56 (16.42)
19	0.44 (12.97)	0.52 (13.66)	0.46 (13.11)
22	0.49 (14.09)	0.52 (13.54)	0.49 (14.33)
26	0.51 (14.57)	0.51 (13.59)	0.48 (14.25)
30	0.61 (15.93)	0.51 (12.81)	0.47 (14.74)
33	0.62 (16.18)	0.57 (13.92)	0.64 (18.28)
35	0.77 (20.25)	0.86 (18.07)	0.74 (22.94)
40	0.67	0.51 (14.05)	0.55
44	0.66 (16.57)	0.61 (15.75)	0.55 (16.87)
47	0.65	0.64 (15.11)	0.53 (15.30)
50	0.72 (15.11)	0.78 (20.23)	0.59
54	0.71 (19.24)	0.72 (18.19)	0.91 (26.88)
57	0.71 (16.75)	0.54 (15.45)	0.64 (19.27)

Table 12. Comparison of total serum alpha II globulin concentration in three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as grams percent.

bReported as relative percent.

pe.	rimental period		
Days Post- infection	1	Group <u>Numbers</u> 2	3
7	0.75 a (26.48)b	0.64 (24.45)	0.71 (27.21)
12	0.83 (29.63)	0.98 (29.30)	0.78 (26.76)
15	0.74 (24.38)	0.78 (21.91)	0.76 (22.36)
19	0.73 (21.65)	0.74 (19.50)	0.77 (22.14)
22	0.75	0.76	0.65
26	0.74 (20.95)	0.86 (23.00)	0.73 (21.68)
30	0.91 (23.93)	0.92 (22.99)	0.76 (23.33)
33	0.83 (21.65)	1.06 (25.67)	0.91 (25.91)
35	0.99 (24.67)	1.15 (24.77)	0.89 (27.54)
40	0.96 (21.56)	0.88 (24.06)	0.62 (23.76)
44	0.81 (20.03)	0.79 (20.91)	0.69 (21.16)
47	1.08 (22.03)	1.02 (23.84)	0.87
50	0.93 (21.16)	0.93 (23.36)	0.76 (25.51)
54	0.80 (21.27)	0.88 (21.99)	0.85 (25.02)
57	1.06 (24.95)	0.72 (19.43)	0.84 (25.56)

Table 13. Comparison of total serum beta globulin concentration of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as grams percent.

^bReported as relative percent.

pe	erimental period		
Days Post- infection	1	Group Numbers 2	3
7	0.22 a (7.90)b	0.29 (10.95)	0.27 (9.99)
12	0.41 (13.90)	0.65 (19.79)	0.55 (19.11)
15	0.69 (22.42)	0.91 (25.73)	0.81 (24.32)
19	0.63 (18.34)	0.86 (22.72)	0.73 (20.30)
22	0.58 (16.30)	0.72 (18.58)	0.57 (16.37)
26	0.50 (13.94)	0.58 (15.49)	0.53 (15.57)
30	0.47 (12.19)	0.53 (13.19)	0.43 (12.65)
33	0.44 (11.50)	0.51 (12.25)	0.39 (10.86)
35	0.48 (11.64)	0.60 (12.64)	0.32 (9.65)
40	1.32 (25.75)	0.48 (13.22)	0.28 (10.04)
44	0.60 (16.30)	0.51 (13.08)	0.44 (13.52)
47	1.43 (22.94)	0.74 (16.29)	0.33 (9.39)
50	1.21 (20.75)	0.83 (18.49)	0.36 (12.25)
54	0.69 (18.17)	1.14 (26.90)	0.75 (21.92)
57	0.81 (18.71)	1.43 (35.76)	1.34 (40.54)

Table 14. Comparison of total serum gamma globulin concentration of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as grams percent.

bReported as relative percent.

Figure 10. Progressive changes in serum gamma globulin concentration (expressed in grams per cent) for the following groups in Trial I:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



Figure 11. Progressive changes in serum gamma globulin concentration (expressed as per cent of total serum protein) in the following groups in Trial I:

> Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



Groups 2 and 3 gradually fell below normal levels and remained within this range for the remainder of the experimental period. The fall was most remarkable in Group 3 where the albumin levels dropped to 0.21 gm. per cent the day the experiment was terminated. In contrast, a twofold increase in gamma globulin levels was detected in all 3 groups 12 days postinfection (Figures 10 and 11). The level dropped to within the normal range after treatment with dimetridazole. Transient increases in gamma globulin levels were detected in Group 1 on days 40 and 47 postinfection (two birds in this group had very high gamma globulin levels on these days). Following challenge with <u>Heterakis</u> eggs, marked increases in gamma globulin levels were detected in both Groups 2 and 3. There were no significant changes in either alpha or beta globulin fractions among all the 3 groups.

Histopathologic Studies

Group 1 - immune-control birds

Of the 2 birds which died before the trial was terminated, gross lesions were observed in the liver and ceca of one while lesions in the other bird were confined to the ceca. The remaining 3 birds which were killed and necropsied at the end of the trial period did not have any significant lesions.

Liver lesions The histopathologic alterations of the gross lesions consisted of focal necrotic areas diffusely

scattered throughout the liver parenchyma. Multinucleated giant cells were numerous within these necrotic areas and many contained degenerating histomonads. There was an intense mononuclear cell infiltration in the surrounding tissue. Histomonads were frequently observed in disrupted hepatic sinusoids and bile duct proliferation was quite marked. Discrete areas of resolving lesions were present in liver sections from one other bird.

Liver sections from birds which had no gross lesions did not contain histomonads (Figures 12 and 13). Well circumscribed lymphoid cell accumulations, the so-called "bursadependent follicles", were frequently found scattered throughout the parenchyma and tended to be more numerous near blood vessels.

<u>Cecal lesions</u> The cecal lumina of birds which had gross lesions were filled with necrotic masses consisting of cellular debris, fibrin, and bacterial colonies. The mucosal lining was ulcerated and a granulomatous inflammation involved all layers of the cecal wall. There was an intense mononuclear cell infiltration into the lamina propria, submucosa, and serosa. Giant cells were numerous in both the mucosa and submucosa. Serosal vessels were congested and the mesentery was infiltrated by large numbers of mononuclear cells and a few polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Sections from ceca which did not have gross lesions were characterized by an intense lymphocytic cell infiltrate in mucosa and sub-

mucosa (Figures 14 and 15). Lymphoid foci, similar to those found in the liver, were frequently found in lamina propria and occasionally in other layers. No histomonads were observed.

Lesions in other tissues Well-circumscribed lymphoid foci were frequently observed in the spleen (Figures 16 and 17), small intestine, and bronchial mucosa. Definitive microscopic lesions were not observed in the kidney, myocardium, or the bursa of Fabricius (Figures 18 and 19).

Group 2 - immune-challenged birds

One bird which died before this group was challenged with virulent histomonads did not have gross or microscopic lesions. All the remaining birds were killed 25 days postchallenge. Two birds had cecal lesions but no gross lesions were observed in either the liver or any other tissues.

Liver lesions Focal areas of lymphoid cell accumulation surrounded by scanty, fibrous stroma were observed near hepatic vessels (Figures 20 and 21). A focal resolving lesion was observed in one section.

<u>Cecal lesions</u> The microscopic lesions in birds which did not have gross lesions consisted of an intense lymphocytic infiltration into all layers of the cecal wall. The reaction was most marked in the mucosa and submucosa. Well circumscribed lymphoid foci (similar to those seen in the liver) were present in lamina propria and submucosa (Figures

Figure 12. Liver section from an immune control bird. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 13. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 12. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400



Figure 14. Section of cecum from an immune control bird. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 15. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 14. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400



Figure 16. Section of spleen from an immune control bird. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 17. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 16. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400



Figure 18. Typical section of the bursa of Fabricius from immune control birds. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 19. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 18. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400


Figure 20. Lymphoid follicles in liver section from an immune-challenged bird. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 21. Higher magnification of the lymphoid follicle in Figure 20. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400



Figure 22. Numerous lymphoid follicles and extensive mononuclear cell infiltration in cecal wall of an immune-challenged bird. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 23. Higher magnification of one of the follicles in Figure 22. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400



22 and 23). In one bird, the cellular response consisted of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, principally heterophils.

The histopathologic alterations in the ceca which had gross lesions were a granulomatous tissue reaction involving all layers of the cecal wall and extending into the adjacent mesentery. Histomonads were found free in lamina propria or surrounded by numerous multinucleated giant cells. The mucosal lining was ulcerated and the cecal lumen contained necrotic cellular debris, epithelial cells, and colonies of bacteria.

Lesions in other tissues Lymphoid follicles (bursadependent follicles) were frequently observed in the spleen (Figures 24 and 25) and small intestine and were occasionally seen in bronchial mucosa. The bursa of Fabricius was enlarged and its follicles were prominent. A thin connective tissue stroma separated individual follicles (Figures 26 and 27).

No significant microscopic changes were observed in the myocardium, large intestine, or the lungs.

Group 3 - HN2-treated birds

Three birds died between 6 and 9 days after challenge and the remaining 2 birds were killed and necropsied 25 days after challenge (the day the trial was terminated). Gross lesions were observed in the livers and ceca of all birds. Small granulomas were occasionally observed in the lungs,

Figure 24. Spleen section from an immune-challenged bird. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 25. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 24. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400



Figure 26. Section through the bursa of Fabricius from an immune-challenged bird. Bursal follicles are filled with round, dark staining cells. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 27. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 26. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400





kidneys and the bursa of Fabricius of some of these birds.

Liver lesions Microscopic liver lesions consisted of large areas of coagulative necrosis with poorly defined borders. Giant cells were numerous in these necrotic areas and often contained degenerated histomonads. Individual or "nested" histomonads were present at the peripheries of these necrotic areas, around blood vessels and in hepatic sinusoids (Figures 28 and 29). The associated cellular response was quite mild and consisted of mononuclear and epithelioid cells. Macrophages filled with pigment were frequently found in these sections.

<u>Cecal lesions</u> Microscopic lesions consisted of massive invasion of all layers of cecal wall by histomonads (Figures 30 and 31). The mucosa was ulcerated and small necrotic foci were frequently observed in the submucosa. Large numbers of giant cells and macrophages were present in the lamina propria. No bursa-dependent follicles were observed in any sections.

Lesions in other tissues

<u>Spleen</u> There was an apparent loss of basophilic staining cells of the white pulp and a concurrent increase in the number of reticular cells (Figures 32 and 33). Bursadependent follicles and histomonads were not observed in these sections.

<u>Kidney</u> Focal granulomas consisting of histomonads surrounded by mononuclear cells were found in kidney sections Figure 28. Numerous histomonads and giant cells in the liver section typical of HN_2 -treated group. Hema-toxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 29. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 28. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400



Figure 30. Ulcerated mucosal lining of cecum from HN₂treated group. Numerous histomonads are present in cecal wall. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 31. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 30. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400





Figure 32. Typical section of spleen from HN2-treated group. There is depletion of lymphoid cells. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 33. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 32. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400



from 2 birds. Microscopic changes from other kidney sections were not remarkable.

Lung Histomonads were not observed in any of the lung sections. However, 2 birds with lung lesions had a chronic granulomatous pneumonia. A mycotic agent, probably <u>Aspergillus sp</u>. was observed in air sacs and in many of the blood vessels.

Bursa of Fabricius This structure was generally smaller in this group than in Groups 1 and 2. Follicles appeared smaller and contained far fewer cells than equivalentsized follicles of immune-control birds (Figures 34 and 35). There was a marked increase in interfollicular connective tissue. Giant cells were occasionally observed in some follicles. Histomonads were present in sections of this structure from 2 different birds (Figures 36 and 37).

Myocardium, duodenum, small intestine, and pancreas The histopathologic alterations observed in these tissues were not remarkable.

Trial II Mortality

Mortality in Groups 1, 2, and 3 were 0/4, 0/4, and 3/5, respectively.

Body Weights

Data on body weights for the 3 groups are given in Table

Figure 34. Section of the bursa of Fabricius from a HN2treated bird. There is atrophy of the bursal follicles and depletion of follicular cells. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 64

Figure 35. Higher magnification of a portion of Figure 34. Hematoxylin and eosin stain. X 400





Figure 36. Protozoal granuloma in the bursa of Fabricius from a HN₂-treated bird. Single and clusters of histomonads are present. Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) stain. X 64

Figure 37. Higher magnification of the granuloma in Figure 36. Periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) stain. X 400



15. There were no significant differences between Groups 1 and 2 with regard to weight gains (Figure 38). Birds in Group 3 gained comparatively less weight than birds in Groups 1 and 2.

Hematologic Observations

Detailed results of hematologic observations for all groups are summarized in Tables 16 through 21. The responses were similar in kind and magnitude to those described for Trial I (Figures 39 through 43) except that, in this trial, the total lymphocyte numbers first rose then steadily dropped to normal values (Figures 42 and 43).

SGOT Studies

Data for SGOT values are given in Table 22. Marked variations in SGOT values were observed among individual birds and between groups. These changes were similar to those observed in Trial I.

Serum Protein Studies

Results of serum protein determinations were essentially similar to those obtained in Trial I and are summarized in Tables 23 through 28. Following challenge, total serum protein concentration was slightly higher in Group 3 than in

	STORPS	01	cui acjo	daring o		onpor	THOILOGE	PULL	04
Days Post- infection		1		Group	Num 2	bers		3	
6 13 16 23 27 35 35 37 44 47 54 57 61 64	1	8 110 13 18 24 27 33 32 33 32 39 48 57 68 57 68 33 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 68 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	$\begin{array}{c} (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\ (4) \\$	1 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 1,0	961 4951 976 305550 7747 57	(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(4)(87 120 138 236 266 302 398 440 580 802 859	(5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (2) (2) (2)

Table 15. Comparison of average weights (in grams) of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aTotal number of birds used.

Table	16.	Compan	rison o	fa	verage	total	leukocj	te counts o	f
		three	groups	of	turkey	s duri	ng the	experimenta	1
		neriod	1						

Days Post- infection	1	Group	Numbers 2	3
6	19,350 (4)a 22	.300 (4)	20,850 (5)
13	26,850 (4) 44	.050 (4)	34,500 (5)
16	37.200 (4) 44	.250 (4)	32,900 (5)
23	19,500 (4) 31	.050 (4)	22,100 (5)
27	17.050 (4) 20	.800 (4)	20,500 (5)
30	18,150 (4) 23	.100 (4)	17.650 (5)
35	19,100 (4) 17	.400 (4)	23,950 (5)
37	23,400 (4) 17	,200 (4)	24,450 (5)
41	33.600 (4) 19	.450 (4)	31,450 (5)
44	40,500 (4) 27	,250 (4)	19,050 (5)
47	46.950 (4) 32	.150 (4)	11,400 (5)
50	25.750 (4) 34	.550 (4)	9,900 (4)
54	18,450 (4) 44	.150 (4)	9,250 (3)
57	21,550 (4) 32	.800 (4)	14,250 (2)
61	23,450 (4) 28	.150 (4)	20,600 (2)
64	23,900 (4) 28	,800 (3)	11,500 (2)

aTotal number of birds used.

Figure 38. Progressive changes in the body weights of the following groups in Trial II:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.

.

Infection at 7 days of age



Figure 39. Total leukocyte response pattern of the following groups in Trial II:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.

Infection at 7 days of age



pe	1100	Groupe Numbers	and the second secon		
Days Post-	1	2	3		
6	(44.75) ^a 8,500 ^b	(42.50) 9.550	(40.60) 8,450		
13	(69.75) 19,150	(66.00) 28,850	(74.40) 25,900		
16	(56.50) 22,100	(49.50) 21,900	(52.00) 17,150		
23	(56.50) 11,150	(65.75) 20,400	(47.60) 10,800		
27	(37.75) 6,500	(39.50) 8,150	(36.40) 7.450		
30	(47.00) 8,600	(49.00) 11,150	(45.20) 7,950		
35	(56.50) 10,850	(57.75) 10,200	(56.40) 13,700		
37	(59.75)	(56.75) 9,700	(58.60) 14,750		
41	(67.00) 23,000	(66.50) 13,000	(65.80) 21,250		
44	(48.25) 19,050	(54.75) 14,750	(71.00) 13,600		
47	(54.50) 25,800	(53.00) 17,000	(55.00) 6,250		
50	(53.75) 14,600	(59.50) 20,350	(50.75) 5,050		
54	(48.75) 9,100	(54.00) 23,250	(44.67) 4.650		
57	(54.50) 12,200	(59.50) 18,700	(58.50) 9,250		
61	(57.50) 13.850	(49.75) 14,250	(61.00) 12,950		
64	(55.50) 12,850	(50.00) 14,700	(65.00) 7,500		

Table 17. Comparison of the average total heterophil counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aReported as percentage.

Figure 40. Absolute numbers of heterophils in the following groups in Trial II:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.

Infection at 7 days of age



Figure 41. Relative numbers of heterophils (expressed in per cent) for the following groups in Trial II:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.

Infection at 7 days of age



Days Post- infection	1	Group Numbers	3
6	(0.25) ^a 59 ^b	(0.50) 104	(0.40) . 82
13	(0.50) 172	(1.00) 566	(0.20) 70
16	(0.25)	(0.25) 125	(0.20) 61
23	(0.50) 113	(0.50) 111	(0.40) 113
27	(0.25) 37	(0.25)	(0.60) 114
30	(1.25) 232	(0.25)	(0.40) 64
35	(0.50) 125	(0.00)	(0.00)
37	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
41	(0.25) 44	(0.00)	(0.00)
44	(0.25) 64	(0.00)	(0.40)
47	(0.50) 220	(1.25) 528	(0.60)
50	(0.00)	(0.50) 207	(0.00)
54	(0.00)	(0.75) 383	(0.00)
57	(0.50) 103	(0.75) 270	(0.00)
61	(0.50) 142	(0.50) 120	(0.50) 142
64	(1.00) 239	(0.33) 78	(0.00)

Table 18. Comparison of the average total eosinophil counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as percentage.

Days Post-		Group Numbers		
infection	1	2	3	
6	(0.25) ^a 59	(0.00)	(0.60) 133	
13	(0.25) 72	(0.00)	(0.00)	
16	(0.50) 304	(0.25)	(1.00) 306	
23	(0.75) 136	(0.25) 162	(0.60) 127	
27	(0.25) 37	(0.75) 138	(1.20) 263	
30	(0.00)	(0.25) 53	(1.40) 231	
35	(0.50) 93	(0.50) 97	(1.20) 337	
37	(0.00)	(0.00)	(1.00) 286	
41	(0.50) 132	(0.50) 85	(0.00)	
44	(0.50) 129	(0.00)	(0.20) 26	
47	(0.75) 357	(0.50) 122	(1.00) 130	
50	(0.25) 42	(1.50) 542	(1.25) 93	
54	(0.50)	(0.50) 222	(0.67)	
57	(0.50) 87	(0.50)	(1.00)	
61	(0.50) 105	(1.50) 423	(1.00)	
64	(0.50) 158	(0.67) 206	(1.00)	

Table 19. Comparison of the average total monocyte counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as percentage.

Days Post- infection	1	Group Numbers	3
6	(53.25) ^a 10,400 b	(52.25) 11,600	(54.80) 11,450
13	(27.50) 6,850	(30.25) 13,250	(23.20) 7.750
16	(40.25) 13,900	(45.50) 20,100	(42.40) 13,900
23	(39.25) 7,500	(30.75) 9,550	(45.80) 9,850
27	(55.75) 9,350	(52.50) 11,000	(58.20) 11,900
30	(48.50) 8,700	(45.00) 10,600	(46.80) 8,300
35	(38.75) 7.250	(36.00) 6,200	(39.80) 9 ,35 0
37	(37.00) 8,650	(38.25) 6,600	(37.80) 9,000
41	(29.25) 9,450	(29.00) 5,600	(32.20) 9,650
44	(48.50) 20,250	(39.25) 11,250	(23.80) 4,500
47	(40.00)	(42.50)	(37.60)
50	(41.75) 10,050	(34.75)	(41.75)
54	(45.25)	(41.50)	(50.00)
57	(39.00) 8,100	(34.75)	(33.50)
61	(37.00) 8,250	(41.00)	(35.00)
64	(38.00) 9,600	(40.67) 11,350	(29.00) 3,300

Table 20. Comparison of the average total lymphocyte counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as percentage.

Figure 42. Absolute numbers of lymphocytes in the following groups in Trial II:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.

Infection at 7 days of age


Figure 43. Relative numbers of lymphocytes (expressed in per cent) for the following groups in Trial II:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



Days Post-	4	Group Numbers	
6	(3.00)a 560 b	(4.75)	(3.60)
13	(2.00)	(2.75) 1,384	(2.20) 768
16	(2.50) 792	(4.50) 1,991	(4.20) 1,401
23	(3.00) 611	(2.75) 858	(5.60) 1,245
27	(6.00) 1,105	(7.00) 1,476	(3.60)
30	(3.25) 591	(5.50) 1,230	(6.20)
35	(3.75) 765	(5.75)	(2.60)
37	(3.25) 748	(5.00)	(2.60)
41	(3.00) 1,008	(3.00)	(2.00)
44	(2.75) 1,185	(6.00)	(4.60)
47	(4.25) 2,014	(2.75) 818	(6.20)
50	(4.25) 1,068	(3.75)	(5.75) 745
54	(5.25)	(3.25) 1,612	(4.67)
57	(5.50) 1,016	(4.50) 1,615	(7.00) 934
61	(4.50) 1,067	(7.25) 2,017	(2.50)
64	(5.00) 1,020	(8.00) 2,339	(5.00)

Table 21. Comparison of the average total basophil counts of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as percentage.

^bReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

a and the second second	during the exp	erime	ntal period			
Days Post-			Group Numbe	rs		
infection	1		2		3	
6	272.00ª	(3)b	212.00	(3)	297.60	(5)
13	217.00	(4)	218.00	(3)	307.50	(4)
16	213.00	(4)	210.00	(4)	222.00	(4)
23	208.50	(4)	213.00	(4)	208.80	(5)
27	219.00	(4)	240.00	(4)	253.20	(5)
30	253.50	(4)	236.00	(3)	254.40	(5)
35	205.50	(4)	258.00	(4)	301.20	(5)
37	187.50	(4)	220.50	(4)	264.00	(5)
41	282.00	(4)	331.50	(4)	259.20	(5)
44	190.50	(4)	228.00	(4)	182.00	(3)
47	238.00	(3)	223.50	(4)	256.50	(4)
50	262.00	(3)	238.00	(3)	248.00	(3)
54	241.50	(4)	276.00	(4)	190.00	(3)
57	282.00	(4)	261.00	(4)	249.00	(2)
61	241.50	(4)	225.00	(4)	258.00	(2)
64	298.50	(4)	228.00	(3)	249.00	(2)

Table 22. Comparison of serum glutamic-oxalacetic transaminase (SGOT) activity in three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aSGOT reported in Sigma-Frankel Units.

bTotal number of birds used.

Table	23.	Compari	son	of	total	serum	pro	otein	values	of	three
		groups	of	turk	eys d	uring	the	exper	imental	. pe	eriod

Days Post- infection	1	3	
6	2.63a (4)b	2.50 (3)	2.96 (5)
13	2.60 (4)	2.17 (3)	2.50(5)
16	2.97 (4)	2.88 (4)	3.10 (5)
23	3.32 (4)	3.27 (4)	3.50 (5)
27	3.50 (4)	3.47 (4)	3.56 (5)
30	3.50 (4)	3.47 (4)	3.52 (5)
35	2.77 (4)	3.32 (4)	3.22 (5)
37	2.45 (4)	3.00 (4)	3.16 (5)
41	3.15 (4)	3.25 (4)	4.54 (5)
44	4.80 (4)	3.75 (4)	4.42 (5)
47	4.90 (4)	4.27 (4)	4.42 (5)
50	4.80 (4)	4.90 (4)	4.47 (4)
54	4.67 (4)	5.97 (4)	4.23 (3)
57	4.90 (4)	6.92 (4)	4.25 (2)
61	4.17 (4)	5.87 (4)	4.45 (2)
64	4.25 (4)	5.40 (3)	4.70 (2)

aReported as grams/100 ml. of serum.

^bTotal number of birds used.

Figure 44. Progressive changes in total serum protein in the following groups in Trial II:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



P0	1100		
Days Post- infection	1	Group Numbers 2	3
6	1.04ª (4) ^b	1.05 (3)	1.19 (5)
	(39.58) ^c	(41.86)	(40.28)
13	0.87 (4)	0.27 (3)	0.62 (5)
	(33.11)	(12.67)	(24.46)
16	1.09 (4)	0.80 (4)	0.83 (5)
	(36.92)	(27.63)	(27.27)
23	1.37 (4)	1.18 (4)	1.39 (5)
	(40.98)	(36.31)	(39.94)
27	1.46 (4)	1.34 (3)	1.52 (5)
	(41.74)	(37.08)	(42.81)
30	1.47 (4)	1.34 (4)	1.46 (5)
	(41.95)	(38.30)	(41.56)
35	1.09 (4)	1.33 (4)	1.24 (5)
	(38.06)	(39.86)	(37.83)
37	0.80 (4) (31.88)	1.13 (4) (36.59)	0.76 (5) (23.96)
41	0.29 (4)	1.10 (4)	0.87 (5)
	(9.54)	(34.76)	(18.62)
44	0.78 (4)	1.09 (4)	1.00 (5)
	(16.76)	(30.01)	(22.16)
47	1.34 (4) (27.31)	0.89 (4) (23.48)	0.96 (5) (21.24)
50	1.63 (4)	0.91 (4)	1.06 (4)
	(33.94)	(18.58)	(22.82)
54	1.61 (4)	1.25 (4)	0.84 (2)
	(34.55)	(21.27)	(19.26)
57	(31.18) (4)	1.86 (4) (26.81)	1.64 (2) (38.44)
61	1.60 (4)	1.90 (4)	1.58 (2)
	(38.36)	(32.34)	(35.67)
64	1.45 (4)	2.11 (3)	1.98 (2)
	(32.96)	(39.12)	(42.14)

Table 24. Comparison of total serum albumin concentration in three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aReported as grams percent. ^bTotal number of birds used. ^cReported as relative percent. Figure 45. Progressive changes in serum albumin concentration (expressed in grams per cent) for the following groups in Trial II:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



Figure 46. Progressive changes in serum albumin concentration (expressed as per cent of the total serum protein) in the following groups in Trial II:

Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



Days Post-		Group Numbers	
infection	1	2	3
6	0.27 a (10.39)b	0.28 (11.55)	0.28 (9.39)
13	0.37 (14.59)	0.31 (14.26)	0.36 (14.61)
16	0.27 (9.25)	0.20 (6.84)	0.26 (8.20)
23	0.31 (9.47)	0.24 (7.32)	0.28 (7.96)
27	0.31 (8.75)	0.25 (6.82)	0.31 (8.85)
30	0.35 (9.93)	0.31 (9.00)	0.25 (7.10)
35	0.26 (9.50)	0.29 (8.57)	0.24 (7.52)
37	0.13 (5.38)	0.27 (9.07)	0.29 (9.13)
41	0.26 (8.81)	0.28 (8.45)	0.26
44	0.36 (7.66)	0.26	0.28
47	0.27 (5.64)	0.30 (7.37)	0.20 (4.67)
50	0.19 (4.11)	0.32 (6.72)	0.28 (6.24)
54	0.27 (5.99)	0.26 (4.66)	0.36
57	0.19 (4.04)	0.45	0.34 (8.00)
61	0.32 (7.66)	0.35	0.25
64	0.29 (6.72)	0.43	0.25

Table 25. Comparison of total serum alpha 1 globulin concentration in three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aReported as grams percent.

Days Post- infection	1	Group <u>Numbers</u> 2	3
6	0.42 a (16.04) ^b	0.39 (15.72)	0.44 (14.84)
13	0.53 (20.56)	0.65 (29.88)	0.64 (25.75)
16	0.50 (16.83)	0.58 (20.14)	0.50 (16.30)
23	0.47 (14.19)	0.45 (13.80)	0.50 (14.18)
27	0.50 (14.36)	0.54 (14.85)	0.47 (13.31)
30	0.50 (14.16)	0.46 (13.11)	0.51 (14.54)
35	0.50 (18.94)	0.45 (13.68)	0.58 (18.86)
37	0.62 (25.69)	0.56 (19.55)	0.81 (26.10)
41	0.71 (23.23)	0.51 (15.26)	0.87 (19.33)
44	0.59 (12.54)	0.65	0.92
47	0.71 (14.43)	0.72 (17.11)	1.08
50	0.66 (13.71)	0.91 (18.67)	1.00 (22.03)
54	0.71 (14.99)	0.97 (16.52)	0.92
57	0.69 (14.18)	0.99(14.21)	0.68
51	0.56 (13.46)	1.00 (17.54)	0.86
54	0.68 (15.55)	0.95	0.83

Table 26. Comparison of total serum alpha II globulin concentration of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aReported as grams percent.

pe	rimental period		
Days Post- infection	1	Group <u>Numbers</u> 2	_3
6	0.72 a (27.08) ^b	0.59 (23.20)	0.79 (26.42)
13	0.51 (19.52)	0.66 (30.65)	0.61 (24.34)
16	0.68 (22.80)	0.70 (24.22)	0.74 (24.40)
23	0.57 (17.14)	0.55 (16.85)	0.63 (18.30)
27	0.74 (21.00)	0.76 (21.22)	0.73 (20.45)
30	0.70 (20.06)	0.66 (19.07)	0.71 (20.33)
35	0.59 (21.77)	0.71 (21.49)	0.70 (22.12)
37	0.64 (26.18)	0.65 (21.79)	0.85 (27.31)
41	0.83	0.74 (22.91)	1.07 (23.73)
44	1.07 (22.40)	0.88 (23.71)	1.06 (23.88)
47	0.89 (18.07)	0.88 (20.85)	1.01 (23.15)
50	0.88 (18.35)	0.98 (20.01)	1.00 (22.38)
54	0.82 (17.57)	1.01 (17.16)	0.90 (22.73)
57	0.83 (16.99)	1.36 (19.62)	0.85
61	0.89 (21.42)	1.04 (17.51)	0.96 (21.64)
64	0.72 (16.75)	1.09 (20.26)	0.88 (18.75)

Table 27. Comparison of total serum beta globulin concentration of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aReported as grams percent.

pe	rimental period		
Days Post- infection	1	- 2	3
6	0.18 a (6.90)b	0.19 (7.68)	0.27 (9.06)
13	0.32 (12.22)	0.27 (12.53)	0.27 (10.84)
16	0.43 (14.21)	0.61 (21.16)	0.76 (23.84)
23	0.61 (18.22)	0.85 (25.71)	0.70 (19.62)
27	0.50 (14.16)	0.72 (20.03)	0.53 (14.57)
30	0.48 (13.90)	0.71 (20.52)	0.59 (16.47)
35	0.34 (11.74)	0.54 (16.39)	0.46 (13.67)
37	0.26 (10.88)	0.39 (13.00)	0.45
41	1.05 (31.74)	0.63 (18.61)	1.47
44	1.99 (40.64)	0.87 (21.38)	1.16 (26.77)
47	1.69 (34.55)	1.48 (31.20)	1.17 (26.86)
50	1.44 (29.89)	1.78 (36.02)	1.13 (26.52)
54	1.27 (26.90)	2.49 (40.38)	0.98 (24.83)
57	1.72 (33.61)	2.28 (32.88)	0.74 (17.43)
61	0.80 (19.11)	1.58 (26.87)	0.80 (18.13)
64	1.11 (28.02)	0.83 (14.96)	0.75 (16.04)

Table 28. Comparison of total serum gamma globulin concentration of three groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aReported as grams percent.

Figure 47. Progressive changes in serum gamma globulin concentration (expressed in grams per cent) for the following groups in Trial II:

> Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



Figure 48. Progressive changes in serum gamma globulin concentration (expressed as per cent of total serum protein) in the following groups in Trial II:

> Group 1 - Immune-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Group 3 - HN₂-treated.



Groups 1 and 2 (Figure 44). Significant changes were observed in both serum albumin and gamma globulin fractions (Figures 45, 46, 47, 48). Changes in other protein fractions were not remarkable.

Histopathologic Studies

Group 1 - immune-control birds

Birds in this group did not have either gross or microscopic lesions referable to histomonosis. Focal areas of lymphoid cell accumulations (similar to those described in Trial I) were numerous in the liver, cecal mucosa, spleen, and were occasionally seen in bronchial and intestinal mucosa.

Group 2 - immune-challenged birds

Gross cecal lesions were present in only 2 birds. Other birds in this group, did not have gross lesions either in the liver or ceca.

Liver lesions Histopathologic alterations were confined to 2 liver sections and consisted of discrete areas of resolving lesions. No histomonads were found in these areas.

<u>Cecal lesions</u> Microscopic examination of ceca with gross lesions revealed large numbers of polymorphonuclear leukocytes in the mucosa and submucosa. Giant cells containing degenerated forms of histomonads were present in these areas. Sections from ceca free of gross lesions were histologically similar to those described in Group 2, Trial I.

Lesions in other tissues Lesions in other tissues were not remarkable and closely paralleled those described in Group 2 of Trial I.

Group 3 - HN2-treated birds

Gross lesions were present in livers of 3 of the 5 birds. Lesions in the other 2 birds were restricted to the ceca.

Liver lesions Microscopic liver lesions consisted of large necrotic areas with irregular borders. Macrophages, multinucleated giant cells and many histomonads were present in these areas. Frequently, giant cells contained one or more histomonads. A mild mononuclear cell infiltrate was present at the periphery of these necrotic areas. Sections from livers without gross lesions had a few focal resolving lesions.

<u>Cecal lesions</u> Microscopic cecal lesions were similar to those described for Group 3, Trial I.

Lesions in other tissues Marked lymphoid depletion was observed in the spleen (Figures 32 and 33) and the bursa of Fabricius (Figures 34 and 35). The histopathologic alterations found in the lungs, kidneys and small intestine were not remarkable.

Trial III Mortality

Mortality rates in Groups 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 were 0/5, 0/6, 1/7, 0/6, and 2/5, respectively.

Body Weights

Results of body weight gains were similar to those reported for Trial I and are summarized in Table 29. Slight weight gain depressions were evident in Groups 3 and 4 following treatment with HN₂ (Figure 49).

Hematologic Observations

Total leukocyte counts

Detailed results of the total leukocyte counts for the 5 groups are given in Table 30. No significant changes in total leukocyte counts were detected in Group 1, which consisted of uninfected control birds. In general, the average total leukocyte counts varied between 16,000 and 30,000 cells/cu. mm.

The leukocyte response pattern in infected groups (Groups 2, 3, and 4) was similar to that observed in Trial I. All groups except Group 1, had a marked increase in leukocytes following challenge with virulent histomonads (Figure 50).

Differential leukocyte counts

The results of differential leukocyte counts are sum-

Days Post- infection	1	Group Numbers 2	3
14 17 21 24 28 31 35 38 42 45 49	$ \begin{array}{c} a \\ (0)^{b} \\ \hline (0) \\ 165 \\ 193 \\ (5) \\ 231 \\ (5) \\ 274 \\ (5) \\ 330 \\ (5) \\ 365 \\ 442 \\ (5) \\ 513 \\ (5) \\ 593 \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ (5) \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 137 & (4) \\ 154 & (4) \\ 185 & (4) \\ 204 & (4) \\ 245 & (6) \\ 306 & (6) \\ 384 & (6) \\ 452 & (6) \\ 523 & (6) \\ 600 & (6) \\ 663 & (6) \\ 664 & (6) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 131 & (3) \\ 157 & (3) \\ 182 & (3) \\ 215 & (3) \\ 223 & (7) \\ 270 & (7) \\ 333 & (7) \\ 370 & (7) \\ 370 & (7) \\ 412 & (7) \\ 495 & (7) \\ 564 & (7) \\ 564 & (7) \end{array}$
56	783 (5)	723 (6)	617 (6)

Table 29. Comparison of average weights (in grams) of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aNo weights recorded.

bTotal number of birds used.

Table 30. Comparison of average total leukocyte counts of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

Days Post- infection	1		Group Number 2	ers	3	
14 17 21 24 28 31 35 38 42 45 49 52 56	a 23,300 26,450 16,400 23,650 21,550 19,800 27,350 24,250 33,750 21,800 28,600	(0) ^b (0) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5	31,050 29,800 28,050 20,950 25,000 21,750 22,650 15,450 16,900 20,600 32,700 48,550 50,300	(4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)	35,700 21,050 23,050 19,950 23,950 26,700 19,800 9,850 12,100 15,450 30,500 38,000 50,650	(3) (3) (3) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7

^aNo samples recorded.

^bTotal number of birds used.

4	5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} - & (0) \\ (0) \\ 187 & (5) \\ 216 & (5) \\ 258 & (5) \\ 306 & (5) \\ 374 & (5) \\ 425 & (5) \\ 509 & (5) \\ 598 & (5) \\ 679 & (5) \\ 729 & (5) \\ 830 & (4) \end{array} $	
4	5	
56,500 (4) 23,500 (4) 23,350 (4) 20,950 (4) 18,350 (6) 21,550 (6) 21,550 (6) 21,800 (6) 28,250 (5) 25,000 (6) 36,950 (6) 31,700 (6) 41,600 (6)	$\begin{array}{c} & (0) \\ & (0) \\ 23,600 & (5) \\ 23,600 & (5) \\ 20,600 & (5) \\ 24,950 & (5) \\ 19,950 & (5) \\ 27,600 & (5) \\ 27,100 & (5) \\ 32,600 & (5) \\ 30,350 & (5) \\ 39,900 & (5) \\ 54,500 & (4) \end{array}$,

Figure 49. Progressive changes in the body weights of the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN₂-treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.



Figure 50. Total leukocyte response pattern of the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN_2 -treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.



marized in Tables 31 through 35. Changes in total leukocyte counts were reflected in absolute heterophil numbers (Figure 51). Marked heterophilia was observed in all infected groups before treatment with dimetridazole (Figures 51 and 52). Heterophil numbers below 6,000 cells/cu. mm. were seen in Group 3 which was being treated with HN₂. After challenge, the absolute heterophil numbers were markedly increased in all groups except the control group (Figure 51).

The lymphocyte response pattern in all the groups except Group 3 was similar to that of the control group (Figures 53 and 54). In Group 3, total lymphocyte numbers fell below control levels following treatment with HN_2 . Total lymphocyte numbers above control values were observed in Group 5 on the day the experiment was terminated (Figure 53).

Changes in other cell types were not remarkable. A twofold increase in eosinophils was found in Group 5 on the last day of the experiment. One bird in this group had a marked eosinophilia (total eosinophil count of over 2,900 cells/cu. mm.) on this day, which was reflected in the high average total eosinophil count for the whole group. There was an increase in total number of monocytes in all groups except Group 1, in the terminal stages of the experiment. Basophils accounted for 3 to 6 per cent of the total leukocyte counts in all groups.

Days Post- infection	1	Group Numbers	3 (68.00) 24,150	
14		(66.25) ^b 21,450 c		
17	_	(55.00) 16,600	(49.00) 10,550	
21	(54.80) 12,850	(52.00) 14,700	(55.67) 13,050	
24	(49.20) 13,200	(53.50) 11,250	(51.33) 10,400	
28	(50.40) 8,200	(49.50) 12,100	(40.86) 9,950	
31	(55.00) 13,750	(56.17) 12,100	(50.14) 14,050	
35	(47.20) 10,450	(44.00) 9,650	(47.57) 9,650	
38	(41.40) 8,350	(47.17) 7,350	(56.00) 5,400	
42	(39.60) 10,850	(43.33) 7,100	(43.71) 5,800	
45	(33.60) 8,400	(42.00) 8,950	(32.71) 6,000	
49	(41.80) 14,850	(60.50) 20,550	(47.29) 16,000	
52	(35.20) 7,600	(62.50) 31,600	(59.29) 22,800	
56	(48.40) 13,800	(59.00) 31,650	(61.17) 34,150	

Table 31. Comparison of average total heterophil counts of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aNo samples recorded.

^bReported as percentage.

CReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

		*****	 1		
4	5		 		
(75.50) 43,150	-				
(44.00) 10,400	÷				
(54.25) 12,650	(52.60) 12,250				
(50.75) 10,750	(46.40) 11,100			1	
(40.33) 7,450	(49.00) 10,450				
(47.17) 10,150	(41.20) 10,600				
(39.33) 7,450	(40.40) 8,150				
(43.33) 9,650	(45.60) 13,350				
(48.40) 15,050	(44.20) 12,000				
(52.17) 14,700	(39.40) 13,150				
(74.83) 25,750	(40.00) 12,300				
(61.83) 21,000	(50.40) 23,100				
(54.17) 22,050	(46.75) 25,450				

Figure 51. Absolute numbers of heterophils in the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN2-treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.



Figure 52. Relative numbers of heterophils (expressed in per cent) for the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN₂-treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.


period							
Days Post-		Gro	Group Numbers				
infection	1	2	3	4	5		
14	- -	(0.75)b 212 c	(0.33) 135	(0.25) 166	-		
17	-	-	(0.33)	(1.50) 369			
21	(0.20) 31	(0.25) 96	(1.00) 183	(0.25) 44	(0.00)		
24	(0.60) 180	(0.25) 50	(0.33) 53	(0.25) 63	(0.60) 158		
28	(0.00)	(0.33) 69	(0.86) 243	(0.00)	(0.00)		
31	(0.00)	(0.33) 81	(0.71) 162	(0.50)	(0.40) 112		
35	(0.20)	(0.00)	(0.14) 21	(0.00)	(0.20) 36		
38	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.14) 15	(0.00)	(0.00)		
42	(0.20) 42	(0.83) 132	(0.43) 59	(0.20)	(0.20)		
45	(0.00)	(0.17) 33	(0.29) 57	(0.17) 22	(0.00)		
49	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.33) 102	(0.20)		
52	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.17) 119	(0.00)		
56	(1.20) 391	(0.17) 158	(0.33) 165	(0.00)	(1.25) 732		

Table 32. Comparison of average total eosinophil counts of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aNo sample recorded.

bReported as percentage.

cReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

	BLOUDS OI	curreys un	THE ONC CAP	or rmenoar	portou
Days Post- infection	1	2 Gro	Numbers 3	4	5
14		(0.75) ^b 212 c	(0.67) 270	(0.25) 228	
17	-	(0.00)	(0.33) 87	(0.75) 193	-
21	(0.00)	(0.75) 214	(0.00)	(0.25) 44	(0.40) 100
24	(0.80) 188	(0.25) 58	(0.33) 53	(0.25) 63	(0.40) 119
28	(0.40) 63	(0.33) 99	(1.43) 361	(0.67) 121	(0.00)
31	(0.40) 135	(0.00)	(0.29) 93	(0.33) 54	(0.20) 36
35	(0.40) 79	(0.50) 119	(0.57) 95	(0.33) 47	(0.60) 133
38	(0.00)	(0.17) 21	(0.29) 44	(0.17) 40	(0.80) 233
42	(0.00)	(0.17)	(1.14) 135	(0.60) 140	(0.20)
45	(0.60) 136	(0.67) 119	(0.71)	(0.00)	(0.00)
49	(0.40) 170	(0.33) 120	(0.43) 105	(0.33) 42	(1.00) 320
52	(0.60) 134	(2.50) 1,007	(1.14) 392	(1.50) 567	(0.60) 187
56	(0.20)	(0.67) 484	(1.83) 1,018	(1.50) 529	(0.75) 425

Table 33. Comparison of average total monocyte counts of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aNo samples recorded.

^bReported as percentage.

CReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

Days Post- infection	1	Group Numbers 2	3
14	a _	(29.25) ^b 8,150 c	(29.33) 10,600
17	ieran -	(42.75) 12,500	(47.00) 9,750
21	(42.20) 9,850	(41.75) 11,550	(40.33) 9,200
24	(45.60) 11,950	(41.25) 8,600	(43.67) 8,550
28	(46.00) 7.550	(45.17) 11,500	(51.57) 12,150
31	(42.40) 9,400	(39.67) 8,650	(45.57) 11,550
35	(47.20) 9,900	(51.83) 12,150	(47.29) 9,200
38	(55.60) 10,800	(48.17) 7,500	(37.43) 3,750
42	(55.20) 15,200	(49.33) 8,700	(46.43) 5,100
45	(60.40) 14,400	(52.00) 10,350	(63.43) 8,850
49	(55.20) 17,850	(34.83) 10,850	(50.29) 13,850
52	(60.40) 13,200	(32.50) 15,000	(36.71) 13,600
56	(46.00) 13,100	(37.17) 16,950	(33.67) 14,200

Table 34. Comparison of average total lymphocyte counts of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aNo sample recorded.

^bReported as percentage.

CReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

4	 5		 	
(24.25) 13,050	-			
(50.25) 11,850	-			
(40.50) 9,550	(42.20) 10,100			
(45.25) 9,350	(48.20)			
(53.17) 9,700	(48.40) 9,600			
(46.67) 10,100	(54.80) 13,400			
(54.83) 9,650	(55.20)		1	
(53.00) 11,300	(42.20) 12,400			
(44.40) 11,450	(48.40) 13,100			
(42.83) 9,550	(56.60) 18,150			
(20.83) 10,200	(54.20) 16,200			
(33.50) 9,350	(44.40) 15,000			
(41.67) 17,850	(43.25) 23,250			

Figure 53. Absolute numbers of lymphocytes in the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN₂-treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.

Infection at 7 days of age



Figure 54. Relative numbers of lymphocytes (expressed in per cent) for the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN_2 -treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.

Infection at 7 days of age



Days Post-		Gro	up Numbers		
infection	1	2	3	4	_5
14	a _	(3.00) ^b 1,008 c	(1.67) 554	(2.25)	-
17	-	(2.25) 736	(3.33) 617	(3.75) 804	-
21	(2.80) 594	(5.25) 1,476	(3.00) 597	(4.75) 1,040	(4.80) 1,119
24	(3.80) 926	(4.75) 980	(4.33) 900	(3.50) 729	(4.40) 1,045
28	(3.20) 558	(4.67) 1,213	(5.57) 1,345	(5.83) 1,073	(2.60) 528
31	(2.20) 429	(3.83) 853	(3.29) 841	(5.33) 1,170	(3.40) 777
35	(5.00) 1,135	(3.67) 772	(4.43) 828	(5.50) 965	(3.60) 684
38	(3.00) 644	(4.50) 621	(5.29)	(3.50) 741	(5.40) 1,634
42	(5.00) 1,277	(6.33) 954	(8.29) 1,002	(6.40) 1,532	(7.00) 1,890
45	(5.40) 1,339	(4.17) 723	(2.86) 502	(4.83) 765	(4.00) 1,312
49	(2,60) 918	(4.33) 1,200	(2.00)	(3.67) 818	(4.60) 1,462
52	(3.80) 835	(2.50) 941	(2.86) 1,201	(3.00) 619	(4.60)
56	(4.20) 1,215	(3.00) 1,043	(3.00) 1,128	(2.67) 1,177	(7.75) 4,523

Table 35. Comparison of average total basophil counts of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aNo samples recorded.

^bReported as percentage.

CReported as total numbers of cells/cu. mm.

SGOT Values

Results of SGOT analyses are given in Table 36. Changes in SGOT values were not remarkable in any one group. Some sick birds had elevated SGOT levels but this was not reflected in the mean value for the group.

Serum Protein Studies

Results of serum protein determinations are given in Tables 37 through 42. No significant changes were detected in the total serum protein levels among all groups (Figure 55). Changes in albumin and globulin fractions in all infected groups were similar to those described for Trial I (Figures 56, 57, 58, 59). However, gamma globulin levels in Group 5 (infected at the day of challenge) did not differ significantly from those of the uninfected control group (Group 1) (Figures 58 and 59).

Histopathologic Studies

Group 1 - uninfected-control birds

All birds in the group were killed at the end of the trial and no gross lesions were observed. On microscopic examination small necrotic foci were found scattered throughout the liver parenchyma. Coagulative necrosis had occurred without cellular response. No significant microscopic lesions

	aut the o	no caperimen	toar porroa		
Days Post-					
infection	1	2	3	4	5
14	a	252.00 ^b	318.00	358.00	
17	-	204.00	240.00	250.50	
21	304.80	268.50	324.00	282.00	300.00
24	277.50	292.50	298.00	336.00	250.00
28	262.00	267.00	251.00	249.20	285.00
31	290.00	218.00	223.29	209.33	249.00
35	267.00	206.00	211.71	189.00	204.00
38	258.00	231.00	220.00	212.00	190.50
42	258.00	254.00	213.43	184.80	226.80
45	240.00	248.00	207.43	199.20	204.00
49	282.00	217.67	202.29	187.00	207.00
52	255.60	233.33	284.71	314.00	207.20
56	277.20	217.00	274.00	356.00	226.50

Table	36.	Comparison of serum glutamic-oxalacetic trans-
		aminase (SGOT) activity of five groups of turkeys
		during the experimental period

^aNo samples recorded.

^bSGOT reported in Sigma-Frankel Units.

Table	37.	Compariso	n of	total	serum	pro	otein	values	of	five
		groups of	tur	keys d	uring	the	exper	imental	. pe	eriod

Days Post-		Gro			
infection	1	2	3	4	5
14	a	2.47b	2.67	2.27	
17		3.17	3.87	2.97	-
21	2.88	3.15	3.20	3.15	2.84
24	3.00	3.25	3.17	3.35	2.76
28	3.00	3.47	3.36	3.27	3.08
31	3.12	3.33	3.07	3.13	3.02
35	2.98	3.27	3.06	2.95	2 00
38	3.14	3.30	3.55	3 33	3 14
42	3.16	2.58	2.86	2 80	2 74
45	3.24	3.10	3.17	2 00	3 12
49	3.02	3.05	3.04	2 87	2.54
52	3.04	3.52	2 83	2 73	2.54
56	3.64	4.63	3.85	3.47	3.45

^aNo samples recorded.

^bReported as grams/100 ml. of serum.

Figure 55. Progressive changes in total serum protein in the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN₂-treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.

Infection at 7 days of age



Days Post-	•	Gr	oup Numbers	5	
infection	1	2	3	- 4	5
14	_ _	0.43 b (17.25)°	0.73 (27.22)	0.62 (25.38)	-
17	-	0.58 (18.80)	1.02 (25.72)	0.80 (26.86)	-
21	1.21 (42.04)	0.90 (28.68)	1.10 (34.56)	1.06 (33.58)	1.18 (41.53)
24	1.38 (46.23)	1.02 (31.44)	1.24 (39.36)	1.32 (39.24)	1.15 (41.70)
28	1.32 (44.23)	1.40 (40.62)	1.39 (41.17)	1.49 (45.45)	1.29 (42.01)
31	1.47 (47.74)	1.44 (42.80)	1.31 (42.45)	1.45 (45.97)	1.44 (48.07)
35	1.47 (49.21)	1.40 (42.70)	1.25 (40.84)	1.31 (44.58)	1.31 (45.66)
38	1.39 (43.66)	1.65 (48.25)	1.55 (43.47)	1.48 (44.32)	1.36 (43.27)
42	1.38 (44.59)	1.10 (42.63)	1.23 (42.75)	1.26 (45.52)	1.27 (46.56)
45	1.49 (43.86)	1.30 (41.65)	1.14 (35.81)	1.24 (42.54)	1.32 (42.77)
49	1.28 (43.21)	1.13 (36.59)	0.95	1.04 (35.70)	1.19 (36.37)
52	1.16 (39.15)	1.03 (29.86)	0.74 (25.18)	0.71 (26.23)	0.87
56	1.49 (41.06)	1.03 (22.40)	0.77 (21.33)	0.39 (12.98)	1.27 (36.98)

Table 38. Comparison of total serum albumin concentration of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aNo samples recorded.

^bReported as grams percent.

cReported as relative percent.

Figure 56. Progressive changes in serum albumin concentration (expressed in grams per cent) for the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN2-treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.

Infection at 7 days of age



Figure 57. Progressive changes in serum albumin concentration (expressed as per cent of the total serum protein) in the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN_2 -treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.

Infection at 7 days of age



	perimental	period			
Days Post- infection	1	2 <u>Gr</u>	oup <u>Numbers</u> 3	4	5
14	_a	0.25 b (8.67) ^c	0.11 (4.10)	0.15 (6.36)	-
17	-	0.26 (8.03)	0.28 (6.82)	0.25 (8.35)	-
21	0.23 (8.04)	0.28 (8.84)	0.22 (6.79)	0.22 (6.96)	0.24 (8.46)
24	0.21 (6.83)	0.23	0.23 (7.31)	0.25 (7.51)	0.20 (7.25)
28	0.21 (7.09)	0.22 (6.55)	0.21 (6.42)	0.19 (5.77)	0.23 (7.77)
31	0.26 (8.35)	0.26 (8.13)	0.21 (6.94)	0.24 (7.73)	0.22 (7.33)
35	0.22 (7.15)	0.32 (10.18)	0.25 (8.32)	0.23 (7.86)	0.27 (9.35)
38	0.29 (9.10)	0.23 (6.92)	0.24 (6.88)	0.24 (7.36)	0.28 (9.12)
42	0.27 (8.73)	0.26 (10.02)	0.23 (8.06)	0.23 (8.31)	0.24 (8.80)
45	0.35 (10.56)	0.33 (10.77)	0.27 (8.50)	0.29 (9.79)	0.35 (11.04)
49	0.27 (9.42)	0.31 (10.39)	0.32 (10.42)	0.20 (7.17)	0.38 (10.83)
52	0.28 (9.73)	0.24 (7.28)	0.25 (8.39)	0.19 (7.09)	0.26
56	0.29 (8.06)	0.23 (5.16)	0.27 (7.05)	0.16 (4.84)	0.25

Table 39. Comparison of total serum alpha 1 globulin concentration of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

aNo samples recorded.

^bReported as grams percent.

cReported as relative percent.

	perimenta	il period			
Days Post-	. 1	2 Gr	oup Numbers	<u> </u>	5
14	a	0.68 b (28.42)°	0.67	0.75 (31.40)	-
17	-	0.66 (20.86)	0.73 (18.25)	0.50 (16.71)	-
21	0.52 (18.03)	0.64 (20.14)	0.64 (19.70)	0.62 (19.71)	0.53 (18.39)
24	0.55 (17.99)	0.61 (18.45)	0.48 (15.29)	0.51 (15.18)	0.54 (19.46)
28	0.49 (15.85)	0.54 (15.28)	0.57 (17.08)	0.45 (14.00)	0.55
31	0.41 (12.46)	0.40 (12.33)	0.39 (12.74)	0.45 (14.39)	0.44 (14.40)
35	0.35 (11.84)	0.35 (10.51)	0.38 (12.41)	0.39 (13.16)	0.40 (13.64)
38	0.44 (14.04)	0.47 (14.02)	0.54	0.47 (14.09)	0.46
42	0.44 (13.70)	0.34 (13.25)	0.42 (14.94)	0.38 (13.25)	0.34 (12.47)
45	0.41 (11.86)	0.38 (12.24)	0.41 (12.85)	0.39 (13.44)	0.38 (11.93)
49	0.40 (13.00)	0.48	0.47 (15.49)	0.65	0.63 (16.32)
52	0.41 (13.16)	0.71 (19.92)	0.56 (18.87)	0.65	0.41 (16.12)
56	0.50 (13.57)	0.81 (17.24)	0.67	0.55 (16.75)	0.52 (14.86)

Table 40. Comparison of total serum alpha II globulin concentration of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aNo samples recorded.

^bReported as grams percent.

cReported as relative percent.

	perimental	period			
Days Post- infection	1	2 <u>Gr</u>	oup <u>Numbers</u> 3	4	5
14	<u>a</u>	0.71 b (28.65) c	0.77 (28.76)	0.60 (24.93)	-
17	-	0.68 (21.22)	0.87 (23.30)	0.64 (21.44)	-
21	0.62 (21.54)	0.68	0.60 (19.04)	0.65	0.60 (21.13)
24	0.60 (19.97)	0.61 (18.84)	0.64 (20.25)	0.66 (19.76)	0.58 (21.02)
28	0.64 (21.59)	0.60 (17.62)	0.66 (19.88)	0.65	0.67 (21.77)
31	0.61 (19.57)	0.59 (17.87)	0.63 (20.65)	0.53 (17.06)	0.61 (19.99)
35	0.62 (20.88)	0.64 (19.75)	0.66 (21.70)	0.57 (18.74)	0.52 (17.80)
38	0.59 (18.72)	0.55	0.73 (20.56)	0.63	0.64 (20.46)
42	0.60 (18.87)	0.49 (19.11)	0.60 (21.04)	0.59 (17.79)	0.53
45	0.73 (21.33)	0.58 (18.73)	0.67 (20.77)	0.59 (20.25)	0.75 (23.88)
49	0.71 (22.89)	0.70 (22.85)	0.72 (23.65)	0.72 (25.06)	0.88 (24.26)
52	0.73 (23.60)	0.82 (23.34)	0.76 (25.94)	0.69	0.70
56	0.73 (19.64)	0.84 (18.05)	0.78 (20.48)	0.65 (19.09)	0.78 (22.53)

Table 41. Comparison of total serum beta globulin concentration of five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aNo samples recorded.

^bReported as grams percent.

^CReported as relative percent.

perimental period							
Days Post-		Group Numbers		1	E		
infection	1	2	3				
14	_ _	0.40 D (17.01) ^C	0.39 (14.90)	0.29 (11.93)	-		
17	-	1.00 (31.08)	0.96 (25.91)	0.80 (26.64)	-		
21	0.29 (10.34)	0.66 (20.76)	0.64 (19.91)	0.60 (19.13)	0.30 (10.50)		
24	0.27 (8.98)	0.77 (24.04)	0.56 (17.79)	0.61 (18.31)	0.29 (10.58)		
28	0.34 (11.23)	0.70 (19.93)	0.52 (15.46)	0.49 (15.03)	0.34 (10.78)		
31	0.38 (11.89)	0.63	0.53 (17.22)	0.45 (14.86)	0.31 (10.22)		
35	0.32 (10.93)	0.56 (16.86)	0.51 (16.72)	0.45 (15.66)	0.39 (13.55)		
38	0.46 (14.48)	0.50 (14.70)	0.49 (14.10)	0.50 (15.28)	0.40 (12.76)		
42	0.47 (14.11)	0.38 (14.99)	0.38 (13.21)	0.43 (15.13)	0.36 (12.86)		
45	0.42 (12.38)	0.51 (16.62)	0.71 (22.07)	0.40 (13.98)	0.32 (10.38)		
49	0.35 (11.48)	0.44 (14.44)	0.58 (19.17)	0.28 (9.49)	0.46 (12.21)		
52	0.45	0.72 (19.60)	0.62 (21.61)	0.50 (17.09)	0.40 (15.84)		
56	0.64 (17.67)	1.72 (37.16)	1.36 (34.02)	1.71 (46.34)	0.63 (18.25)		

Table 42. Comparison of total serum gamma globulin concentration in five groups of turkeys during the experimental period

^aNo samples recorded.

bReported as grams percent.

CReported as relative percent.

Figure 58. Progressive changes in serum gamma globulin concentration (expressed in grams per cent) for the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN2-treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.

Infection at 7 days of age



Figure 59. Progressive changes in serum gamma globulin concentration (expressed as per cent of total serum protein) in the following groups in Trial III:

Group 1 - Uninfected-control. Group 2 - Immune-challenged. Groups 3 and 4 - HN2-treated. Group 5 - Infected-control.

Infection at 7 days of age



were observed in other tissues.

Group 2 - immune-challenged birds

All birds were killed at the end of the trial. Both gross and microscopic lesions were similar to those seen in Group 2 of Trial II.

Group 3 - HN2-treated birds (-2 to +2 days pre- and postchallenge)

Cecal lesions similar to those in Group 3 of Trial II were observed in all 7 birds but liver lesions were found in 4 birds only. There was a slight lymphoid depletion in the spleen and much of the bursal tissue had been replaced by connective tissue. Microscopic changes observed in other tissues were not remarkable.

Group 4 - HN2-treated birds (+7 to +11 days postchallenge)

Gross lesions were present in the livers and ceca of all birds.

Liver lesions Microscopic liver lesions consisted of massive areas of coagulative necrosis surrounded by mononuclear inflammatory cells. Giant cells containing individual or "nested" histomonads were frequently seen in the necrotic areas and adjacent tissue. Bile duct proliferation was quite marked in less damaged portions of the liver parenchyma.

<u>Cecal lesions</u> Cecal lesions were similar to those seen in Group 3 of the same trial.

Lesions in other tissues Lymphoid depletion of the spleen was observed in 4 out of the 6 birds used in this group. One bird had a mycotic granulomatous pneumonia and <u>Aspergillus sp</u>. was present in air sacs and in thrombosed vessels in the lung. The bursa of Fabricius was reduced in size and fewer cells were present in its follicles. There was an increase in interfollicular connective tissue.

Group 5 - infected-control birds

Three birds had typical histomonosis lesions in the ceca and liver. Lesions in the 4th bird were confined to the ceca while the 5th bird had no gross lesions.

Liver lesions The histopathologic alterations of liver sections from birds which had gross lesions, consisted of necrotic areas randomly scattered throughout liver parenchyma. There was a marked mononuclear cell infiltration in these necrotic areas. Macrophages and giant cells were present in large numbers. Histomonads could be seen in some of the giant cells and also in disrupted hepatic sinusoids.

<u>Cecal lesions</u> The cecal lesions consisted of massive invasion of all layers by macrophages, lymphocytes, plasma cells and polymorphonuclear leukocytes. Histomonads had penetrated all cecal layers but were most numerous in the lamina propria. The ceca without gross lesions were found to have large numbers of polymorphonuclear leukocytes in the wall.

Lesions in other tissues Microscopic changes observed in other tissues were not remarkable except that in some birds large numbers of polymorphonuclear cells had infiltrated into the bursa of Fabricius, bronchial mucosa, and small intestine.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that turkey poults which recovered from experimental histomonosis infection as a result of drug therapy were refractory to the disease when challenged by the same infective agent. Administration of an immunosuppressant agent to immune recovered poults broke down this resistance and these birds succumbed to the disease when challenged. Parameters used in studying the host's responses to the disease included mortality rates, weight gains, alterations in hematologic and serum protein values, changes in SGOT activity levels and histopathologic changes.

Mortality Rates

Fewer deaths were recorded in HN₂-treated groups of Trial III than in the corresponding groups in Trials I and II in which the disease was allowed to run its normal course. Based on the extent of tissue damage observed in some birds in Groups 3 and 4 of Trial III, it is believed that these birds would probably have died within the next 4 or 5 days.

Weight Gains

Throughout the trial period, observed weight gain depressions were consistent with the reduction in feed and water consumption normally encountered in sick birds.

Hematologic Values

Circulating leukocyte numbers were markedly increased in all groups prior to treatment with dimetridazole primarily because of increased heterophil numbers although lymphocytes were also increased, particularly in Trial I. These findings are consistent with those of other workers (Johnson and Lange, 1939; McGuire and Cavett, 1952). The increase in leukocyte numbers coincided with the appearance of sulfur-yellow droppings. This suggested that the disease had extended from the ceca to the liver and the marked leukocytosis was a reflection of increased tissue demand for heterophils brought about by the increasingly extensive tissue damage. The return of circulating leukocyte numbers to the normal range in all groups after the birds were treated with dimetridazole is similar to the pattern reported by Venkataratnam and Clarkson (1963) for chickens recovering from histomonosis infection. In the present study, the return of leukocyte numbers to normal levels was probably associated with the resolution of liver and cecal lesions as a result of drug therapy.

The reduction of leukocyte numbers to levels below control values observed in birds which were being treated with nitrogen mustard (HN_2) indicates that its cytotoxicity was effectively expressed in these trials. However, its antileukemic effect did not appear to be permanent since peripheral leukocyte counts rose to values above control values

after the drug was withdrawn.

The increase in total leukocyte numbers following challenge of HN₂-treated and immune groups was a response to reinfection established by the challenging dose. Leukocytosis which developed in some of the immune control birds was probably due to relapse of the original infection. Relapses of this disease are not unusual because of the difficulty of completely eliminating all tissue histomonads. The lack of significant changes observed in eosinophil, basophil or monocyte counts, reflects the findings of Malewitz and Calhoun (1957).

Serum Protein Changes

The reduction in serum albumin levels in all groups, 12 to 15 days after infection, was similar to changes reported by Clarkson (1959, 1966). Clarkson attributed the fall in albumin to loss of large quantities of albumin into cecal lumen and to the inability of the damaged liver to produce adequate quantities of albumin. In the present study, albumin levels returned to normal after treatment with dimetridazole. This response pattern was similar to that reported in chickens that were recovering from the disease by other investigators (Beg and Clarkson, 1970; McDougald and Hansen, 1969) and was associated with resolution of histomonosis lesions.

The fall in albumin levels observed in HN2-treated birds, following challenge, correlated with the development of cecal and liver lesions. Several factors may account for the rise in serum gamma globulins, observed between days 7 and 12 of infection. Precipitating antibodies which are produced in Histomonas-infected turkeys or chickens (Clarkson, 1963) appear in the gamma globulin fraction and are responsible, in part, for the rise in serum gamma globulins (Clarkson, 1966). The rise may also be caused by the production of "non-specific immunoglobulins" by the reticuloendothelial system in response to tissue destruction or to a decrease in osmotic pressure (Clarkson, 1966). Madden and Zeldis (1958) have suggested that immunoglobulin-producing cells are probably stimulated by plasma amino acids which tend to accumulate in the damaged liver. These workers also suggest that a decrease in albumin production may stimulate production of gamma globulins. Dougherty and White (1946) suggested that the rate of release of gamma globulin from lymphocytes was under pituitary-adrenal cortical control. They associated increase in serum gamma globulins with increased pituitaryadrenal cortical secretions. It is difficult to say which, if any, of these factors are responsible for the rise in serum gamma globulins, in the present study.

Changes in other globulin fractions were not very remarkable and no reasonable explanation can be advanced until normal metabolic fates of these fractions have been

thoroughly worked out in the turkey.

SGOT Values

Results of SGOT studies were unexpected. McDougald and Hansen (1970) found increases in GOT levels in Histomonasinfected turkeys and chickens and correlated these increases with tissue breakdown in the liver and ceca. Similar changes have been observed by this author in previous studies (Niyo, 1970 unpublished). However, in the present study, there was a wide variation in enzyme level in each group from day to day. Serum enzyme levels lower than control values were sometimes observed in infected groups. Some of these birds were later found to have minimal liver lesions but had severe cecal lesions. Low transaminase levels have been reported in sera and tissues of pyridoxine-deficient mammals (Sebrell and Harris, 1968). It is conceivable that alteration in cecal lumen, because of the extensive histomonosis lesions, might affect metabolism of pyridoxine. This may indirectly be reflected in low transaminase activities which are dependent on this vitamin, but is not known whether similar mechanisms prevail in avian species. In any event, birds in these trials did not show clinical signs referrable to pyridoxine deficiency. The large technical error inherent in this method of analysis and differences in rate of lesion development in individual birds may account for much of the variations in enzyme levels observed in these trials.

Histopathologic Studies

The histologic response of immune birds to challenge with Histomonas-bearing Heterakis eggs consisted of extensive lymphocytic infiltration in all layers of the cecal wall. In addition, numerous well-circumscribed lymphoid foci were observed, primarily in the liver, ceca, and spleen. Similar lymphoid foci were occasionally found in other tissues. No histomonads were observed in the tissues except in a few cases in which lesions were found in either the liver or the ceca. In contrast, HN2-treated birds developed histomonosis lesions in the ceca and livers. Large numbers of histomonads were present in these tissues and were closely associated with giant cells and macrophages. Liver lesions had fewer infiltrating cells compared to normal birds, suggesting that there was a reduction in the cells associated with the protection of the host against the disease. It is known that use of cytotoxic drugs in treatment of neoplastic diseases suppresses immune response of human patients and renders them susceptible to other infections (Schwartz and Borel, 1968). In this study, two birds that had been treated with HN2 developed mycotic (granulomatous) pneumonia, probably as a result of drug-induced tolerance.

The destruction of lymphoid cells of the spleen, bursa

of Fabricius and cecal tonsils observed in HN_2 -treated birds was attributed to the lymphocytotoxic action of the drug. Although histopathologic studies of the thymus were not carried out due to technical problems, the destruction of lymphocyte populations of this organ by the use of nitrogen mustard (HN_2) has been reported (Kemp, 1970). Depletion of follicular cells of the bursa of Fabricius was attributed to the action of HN_2 rather than to the normal involution of this lympho-epithelial organ since no similar (regressive) changes were observed in either the immune-control or immunechallenged birds which were of the same age and breed.

Well circumscribed lymphoid foci, the bursa-dependent follicles, were prominent in immune-control and immunechallenged birds but were absent in HN₂-treated birds. These lymphoid foci are thought to constitute an important integral part of the lymphoid system in the chicken and probably in the turkey as well. They tend to enlarge in response to antigenic stimulus and consequently are prominent in certain avian diseases. Rhoades (1971) reported that they were prominent in reproductive tracts and air sacs of turkeys infected with <u>Mycoplasma meleagridis</u> and Taliaferro (1967) remarked on the increase of lymphoid nodules in avian malaria. It has been suggested that such nodules are related to the mechanism of immunity (Soulsby, 1967).

Evidence for morphologic and functional similarity between bursal lymphocytes and the large lymphocytes of the
splenic germinal centers has been presented by several workers (Clawson <u>et al.</u>, 1967; Cooper <u>et al.</u>, 1965). It has been pointed out that the function of the bursa-dependent system is the production of immunoglobulins (Cooper <u>et al.</u>, 1967; Glick <u>et al.</u>, 1956; Janković and Mitrović, 1967). In the present study, serum-immunoglobulins were not greatly reduced in the HN₂-treated groups despite the fact that there was a marked depletion of the bursa-dependent system. Some of these immunoglobulins may indeed be the serum precipitins described by Clarkson (1963) in <u>Histomonas</u>-infected turkeys or they may be non-specific immunoglobulins produced in response to catabolic by-products.

Although immunoglobulin synthesis has been detected in bursaless chickens such birds are unable to produce antibodies in response to antigenic stimulus (Cooper <u>et al</u>., 1967). It is possible that total destruction of the bursadependent cells was not accomplished so that the residual foci of these cells were still capable of producing these gamma globulins. In any event, these gamma globulins seem to provide little or no protection against histomonosis since infection was re-established in HN_2 -treated birds despite the presence of these globulins. Clarkson (1963) was unable to transfer solid protection from immune birds to susceptible birds by means of serum. Similar failures have been encountered with <u>Besnoitia</u> infections in hamsters (Frankel, 1967).

It has been stated that typical histomonosis lesions are

178

confined to the ceca and liver and there are indications that histomonads will infrequently invade other tissues such as spleen, kidney, and proventriculus (Johnson and Lange, 1939; Malewitz <u>et al</u>., 1958). In this study, histomonosis lesions were found in the kidneys of some HN_2 -treated birds. In addition, <u>Histomonas</u>-granulomas were found in the bursa of Fabricius of 2 birds that had been treated with HN_2 . Invasion of the bursa of Fabricius by histomonads has not been reported previously and while this may be a coincidental finding, it nevertheless leads one to some interesting speculations. Since these lesions were found in a structure that is associated with antibody production, it was assumed that treatment with HN_2 had suppressed immune responses of this structure and rendered it susceptible to <u>Histomonas</u> invasion.

Use of HN₂ on recovered birds eliminated acquired resistance so that they succumbed to challenge with histomonads introduced by <u>Heterakis</u> eggs. The severity of lesions was more pronounced in birds in which immunosuppression was started several days before challenge (Trial I) than in those birds in which the immunosuppressant agent was given together with or following challenge. This suggests that immunosuppression in this instance is best accomplished when nitrogen mustard (HN₂) administration is started before challenge. Loss of the acquired resistance correlated with depletion of lymphoid cell population of the bursa of Fabricius, the spleen and cecal nodules and the disappearance of the bursa-depend-

179

ent follicles which were quite prominent in the liver and ceca of the immune-challenged birds. It is tempting to speculate that acquired resistance to histomonosis is mediated by these residual lymphoid cells and that humoral factors probably play a minor protective role, if any. It would be interesting to find out if transplantation of these cells from immune to susceptible birds can provide protective immunity to the disease.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUMMARY

This study has produced a successful new model host/ parasite system for the study of cellular immune phenomena in protozoan diseases. It is superior to previous models in that the effects are well-defined, the host/parasite system is a naturally occurring one, and the nature of the experimental animals and parasites is such that it is a convenient system to set up and allows numerous easy experimental manipulations to test various parameters of host/parasite interaction.

The various hematologic and serologic values, while reflecting little response relative to the immune state of the hosts, nevertheless represent a more complete summary of values than have been collected heretofore in this host/ parasite system.

Other specific findings from this study are summarized below:

1. Mortality was higher and weight gain depressions were more pronounced in HN2-treated birds than in immunecontrol or immune-challenged birds.

2. Leukopenia observed in HN₂-treated birds was not permanent and was attributed to the cytotoxic action of the drug on all rapidly dividing cells. Following its withdrawal, these birds developed a marked leukocytosis in response to challenging dose of virulent histomonads.

181

3. Changes observed in serum protein fractions were correlated with the development of histomonosis lesions. Administration of HN_2 did not appear to exert any significant effect on serum proteins.

4. SGOT activity levels varied markedly among individual birds and the possible reasons for such patterns were discussed.

5. Use of nitrogen mustard, HN₂, destroyed lymphoid follicles of the liver, spleen, and cecal necks. In addition, lymphoid cells of the bursa of Fabricius were also destroyed. Birds devoid of such cells were highly susceptible to <u>Histomonas meleagridis</u> infection. These birds developed severe cecal and liver lesions following challenge with virulent histomonads. This is the first time that acquired resistance to this disease has been eliminated by artificial means. In contrast, residual lymphoid cells were prominent in birds which had recovered from the disease but were not treated with HN₂. These birds were highly resistant to reinfection.

While results of this study are not completely definitive, they nevertheless suggest that these residual lymphoid cells are involved in mediation of acquired resistance to histomonosis infection. The major limitation of this study resides in the broad spectrum of immunosuppressive activity of mechlorethamine-HCl which prevented specific analysis of the roles of individual components of the reticulo-endothelial

182a

system. However, it is clearly indicated that acquired immunity to this disease can be suppressed, and selective ablative techniques such as bursectomy, splenectomy, thymectomy or combinations of such procedures, along with immunocyte transplantation studies could well result in a definitive description of the immune phenomena operating in this condition. Such a result could be a significant addition to our understanding of protozoan host/parasite relationships in other domestic animals, and perhaps man.

182Ъ

LITERATURE CITED

- Beg, M. K. and M. J. Clarkson 1970 Effect of histomoniasis on the serum proteins of the fowl. J. Comp. Path. 80: 281-284.
- Bierer, B. W. 1969 Serum protein fractions in the normal turkey. Poult. Sci. 48: 1208-1216.
- Bradley, R. E. and W. M. Reid 1966 <u>Histomonas meleagridis</u> and several bacteria as agents of infectious enterohepatitis in gnotobiotic turkeys. Exp. Parasit. 19: 91-101.
- Burns, W. C. and J. R. Challey 1959 Resistance of birds to challenge with <u>Eimeria</u> tenella. Exp. Parasit. 8: 515-526.

Calabrensi, P. and R. E. Parks, Jr.

- 1970 Alkylating agents, antimetabolites, hormones and other antiproliferative agents, p. 1348-1356. <u>In</u> L. S. Goodman and A. Gilman (eds.) The pharmacological basis of therapeutics. 4th ed. Macmillan, New York.
- Clarkson, M. J. 1959 Histomoniasis and the serum proteins of the turkey. Vet. Record 71: 838.
- Clarkson, M. J. 1962 The progressive pathology of <u>Heterakis</u>-produced histomoniasis in turkeys. Res. Vet. Sci. 3: 443-448.
- Clarkson, M. J. 1963 Immunological responses to <u>Histomonas meleagridis</u> in the turkey and fowl. Immunology 6: 156-168.
- Clarkson, M. J. 1966 Progressive serum protein changes in turkeys infected with <u>Histomonas meleagridis</u>. J. Comp. Path. 76: 387-396.
- Clawson, C. C., M. D. Cooper and R. A. Good 1966 Comparison of the fine structure of the bursa of Fabricius, the thymus, and the germinal center. Fed. Proc. 25: 309.

- Clawson, C. C., M. D. Cooper and R. A. Good 1967 Lymphocyte fine structure in the bursa of Fabricius, the thymus, and the germinal centers. Lab. Invest. 16: 407-421.
- Cooper, M. D., A. E. Gabrielsen, R. D. A. Peterson and R. A. Good
- 1967 Ontogenic development of the germinal centers and their function-relationship to the bursa of Fabricius, p. 28-33. <u>In</u> H. Cotter, N. Odartchenko, R. Schindler and C. C. Congdon (eds.) Germinal centers in immune responses. Springer-Verlag, Inc., New York.
- Cooper, M. D., R. D. A. Peterson and R. A. Good 1965 Delineation of the thymic and bursal lymphoid systems in the chicken. Nature 205: 143-146.
- Cooper, M. D., R. D. A. Peterson, M. A. South and R. A. Good 1966 The functions of the thymus system and the bursa system in the chicken. J. Exp. Med. 123: 75-102.
- Dent, P. B. and R. A. Good 1965 Absence of antibody production in the bursa of Fabricius. Nature 207: 491-493.
- Doll, J. P. and C. K. Franker 1963 Experimental histomoniasis in gnotobiotic turkeys. I. Infection and histopathology of the bacteriafree host. J. Parasit. 49: 411-414.
- Dougherty, T. F. and A. White 1946 Pituitary-adrenal cortical control of lymphocyte structure and function as revealed by x-radiation. Endocrinology 39: 370-385.
- Farmer, J. N. and R. P. Breitenbach 1968 <u>Plasmodium lophurae</u> infections and related serum protein changes in 2-week-old normal and hormonally bursectomized chickens. J. Parasit. 54: 137-149.
- Farmer, R. K., D. L. Hughes and G. Whiting 1951 Infectious enterohepatitis (blackhead) in turkeys: a study of the pathology of the artificially induced disease. J. Comp. Path. 61: 251-262.
- Franker, C. K. and J. P. Doll 1964 Experimental histomoniasis in gnotobiotic turkeys. II. Effects of some cecal bacteria on pathogenesis. J. Parasit. 50: 636-640.

Frenkel, J. K. 1967 Adoptive immunity to intracellular infection. J. Immunol. 98: 1309-1319.

Gibbs, B. J.

- 1962 The occurrence of the protozoan parasite <u>Histomonas</u> <u>meleagridis</u> in the adults and eggs of the cecal worm <u>Heterakis</u> gallinae. J. Protozoology 9: 288-293.
- Glick, B. 1957 Experimental modification of the growth of the bursa of Fabricius. Poult. Sci. 36: 18-28.
- Glick, B., T. S. Chang and R. G. Jaap 1956 The bursa of Fabricius and antibody production. Poult. Sci. 35: 224-225.
- Goedbloed, E. and B. H. Bool 1962 The protozoan etiology of blackhead. Avian Dis. 6: 302-315.
- Graetzer, M. A., H. R. Wolfe, R. L. Aspinall and R. K. Meyer 1963 Effect of thymectomy and bursectomy on precipitin and natural hemagglutinin production in the chicken. J. Immunol. 90: 878-887.
- Graybill, H. W. and T. Smith 1920 Production of fatal blackhead in turkeys by feeding embryonated eggs of <u>Heterakis</u> papillosa. J. Exp. Med. 31: 647-655.
- Horton-Smith, C. 1963 Immunity to avian coccidiosis. Brit. Vet. J. 119: 99-109.
- Horton-Smith, C., J. Beattie and P. L. Long 1961 Resistance to <u>E. tenella</u> and its transference from one cecum to the other in individual fowls. Immunology 4: 111-121.
- Horton-Smith, C. and P. L. Long 1956 Studies in histomoniasis. I. The infection of chicken (<u>Gallus gallus</u>) with histomonad suspensions. Parasitology 46: 79-90.
- Janković, B. D. and K. Isaković 1966 Antibody production in bursectomized chickens given repeated injections of antigen. Nature 211: 202-203.

Janković, B. D. and K. Mitrović

- 1967 Germinal centers in the tonsilla caecalis--relationship to the thymus and the bursa of Fabricius, p. 34-39. <u>In</u> H. Cotter, N. Odartchenko, R. Schindler and C. C. Congdon (eds.) Germinal centers in immune responses. Springer-Verlag, Inc., New York.
- Johnson, E. P. and C. J. Lange 1939 Blood alterations in typhlohepatitis of turkeys, with notes on the disease. J. Parasit. 25: 157-167.
- Joyner, L. P., S. F. M. Davies and S. B. Kendall 1966 Chemotherapy of histomoniasis, p. 425-428. <u>In</u> R. J. Schnitzer and F. Hawking (eds.) Experimental Chemotherapy. Vol. 4. Academic Press, New York.
- Kemp, R. L. 1970 Alteration of the response to histomoniasis in poults after Mechlorethamine-HCl administration. J. Parasit. 56 (4): Sec. II: 435.
- Kemp, R. L. and W. M. Reid 1966a Staining techniques for differential diagnosis of histomoniasis and mycosis in domestic poultry. Avian Dis. 10: 357-363.
- Kemp, R. L. and W. M. Reid 1966b Studies on the etiology of blackhead disease: the roles of <u>H. meleagridis</u> and <u>Candida</u> <u>albicans</u> in the U. S. Poult. Sci. 45: 1296-1301.
- Kendall, S. B. 1957 Some factors influencing resistance to histomoniasis in turkeys. Brit. Vet. J. 113: 435-439.
- Leathem, W. D. and W. C. Burns 1967 Effects of the immune chicken on the endogenous stages of <u>Eimeria</u> tenella. J. Parasit. 53: 181-185.

Lerman, S. P. and W. P. Weidanz 1970 The effect of cyclophosphamide on the ontogeny of the humoral immune response in chickens. J. Immunol. 105: 614-619.

Longnecker, B. M., R. P. Breitenbach and J. N. Farmer 1966 The role of the bursa of Fabricius, spleen and thymus in the control of a <u>Plasmodium lophurae</u> infection in the chicken. J. Immunol. 97: 594-599. Lucas, A. M. and C. Jamroz

- Atlas of avian hematology. U.S. Dept. Agr., Agri-1961 culture Monograph 25.
- Lucas, J. M. S. 1961 1,2-dimethyl-5-nitroimidazole, 8595 R. P. Part I. Prophylactic activity against experimental histomoniasis in turkeys. Vet. Record 73: 465-466.
- Lucas, J. M. S. Dimetridazole: Part II. Therapeutic activity and 1962 toxicity in the treatment of experimental histomoniasis in turkeys. Vet. Record 74: 759-762.
- Lucas, J. M. S. Dimetridazole: Part III. The permanent suppression 1963 of experimental histomoniasis in turkeys following treatment. Vet. Record 75: 695-696.
- Lund, E. E.
 - Immunizing action of a nonpathogenic strain of 1959 Histomonas against blackhead in turkeys. J. Protozoology 6(2): 182-185.
- Lund, E. E. and R. H. Burtner Infectivity of Heterakis gallinae eggs with Histo-1957 monas meleagridis. Exp. Parasit. 6: 189-193.
- Lund, E. E., E. E. Wehr and D. J. Ellis Earthworm transmission of <u>Heterakis</u> and <u>Histomonas</u> to turkeys and chickens. J. Parasit. 52: 899-902. 1966
- McDougald, L. R. and M. F. Hansen Serum protein changes in chickens subsequent to 1969 infection with Histomonas meleagridis. Avian Dis. 13: 673-677.
- McDougald, L. R. and M. F. Hansen 1970 Histomonas meleagridis: effect on plasma enzymes in chickens and turkeys. Exp. Parasit. 27: 229-235.
- McGuire, W. C. and J. W. Cavett Blood studies on histomoniasis in turkeys. Poult. 1952 Sci. 31: 610-617.
- McGuire, W. C. and N. F. Morehouse 1958 Blood-induced blackhead. J. Parasit. 44: 292-295.

- McGuire, W. C., M. W. Moeller and N. F. Morehouse 1964 The effect of dimetridazole on growth and the prevention of histomonosis in poultry. Poult. Sci. 43: 864-871.
- McKay, F. and N. F. Morehouse 1947 Studies on experimental blackhead infection in turkeys. J. Parasit. 33: 11-12.
- Madden, S. C. and L. M. Zeldis 1958 Plasma protein control by the liver. Amer. Institute Biol. Sci. Publ. 4: 325-333.
- Malewitz, T. D. and M. L. Calhoun 1957 The normal hematological picture of turkey poults and blood alterations caused by enterohepatitis. Amer. J. Vet. Res. 18: 396-399.
- Malewitz, T. D., R. A. Runnells and L. M. Calhoun 1958 The pathology of experimentally produced histomoniasis in turkeys. Am. J. Vet. Res. 19: 181-185.
- Morehouse, N. F., T. A. Rude and R. D. Vatne 1968 Liver regeneration in blackhead infected turkeys treated with 1,2-dimethyl-5-nitroimidazole. Avian Dis. 12: 85-95.
- Niyo, Y.
 - 1970 Comparisons of serum protein fractions, SGOT levels, total WBC counts and differential counts in normal and histomonosis-infected turkeys. Unpublished paper. Ames, Iowa, Iowa State University, Department of Veterinary Pathology.
- Philips, F. S., F. H. Hopkins and M. L. H. Freeman 1947 Effect of tris(beta-chloroethyl)amine on antibodyproduction in goats. J. Immunol. 55: 289-296.

Pierce, A. E. and P. L. Long 1965 Studies on acquired immunity to coccidiosis in bursaless and thymectomized fowls. Immunology 9: 427-438.

Reitman, S. and S. Frankel 1957 Colorimetric method for the determination of serum transaminase activity. Am. J. Clin. Path. 28: 56-63. Rhoades, K. R. 1971 Pathologic responses of turkeys to <u>Mycoplasma</u> <u>meleagridis</u> infection. Ph.D. thesis. Iowa State University. p. 1-141.

Rose, E.

- 1971 Immunity to coccidiosis: protective effect of transferred serum in <u>Eimeria maxima</u> infections. Parasitology 62: 11-25.
- Rose, M. E. and P. L. Long 1962 Immunity to four species of <u>Eimeria</u> in fowls. Immunology 5: 79-92.
- Ruff, M. D. and M. F. Hansen 1970 Effects of gamma radiation on the pathogenicity of <u>Histomonas meleagridis</u>. Avian Dis. 14: 646-653.
- St. Pierre, R. L. and G. A. Ackerman 1965 Bursa of Fabricius in chickens: possible humoral factor. Science 147: 1307-1308.
- Schwartz, R. S. and Y. Borel 1968 Principles of immunosuppressive drug action, p. 227-235. <u>In</u> P. A. and H. J. Muller-Eberhard (eds.) Textbook of immunopathology. Vol. I. Grune and Stratton, New York.
- Sebrell, W. H., Jr. and R. S. Harris 1968 The vitamins. Vol. 2. 2nd ed. Academic Press, New York.
- Seto, F. and W. G. Henderson 1968 Natural and immune hemagglutinin forming capacity of immature chickens. J. Exp. Zool. 169: 501-509.
- Smith, T. 1895 An infectious disease among turkeys caused by protozoa (infectious enterohepatitis). U.S. Dept. of Agr., Bureau of Animal Industry Bulletin No. 8: 1-38.

Soulsby, E. J. L.

1967 Lymphocyte, macrophage and other cell reactions to parasites. <u>In Immunologic aspects of parasitic</u> infections. Pan American Health Organization Scientific Publication No. 150: 66-84.

Swales, W. E.

1950 Histomoniasis in turkeys: V. Further experiments on chemotherapy. Canad. J. Comp. Med. 14: 118-125. Taliaferro, W. H.

1967 A retrospective look at the immunologic aspects of parasitic infections. <u>In Immunologic aspects of</u> parasitic infections. <u>Pan American Health Organ-</u> ization Scientific Publication No. 150: 3-20.

Taliaferro, W. H. and L. G. Taliaferro

1948 Reduction in immunity in chicken malaria following treatment with nitrogen mustard. J. Infect. Dis. 82: 5-30.

Tyzzer, E. E.

1920a The flagellate character and reclassification of the parasite producing "blackhead" in turkeys. <u>Histomonas</u> (g. n.) <u>meleagridis</u> (Smith). J. Parasit. 6: 124-131.

Tyzzer, E. E.

1920b Observations on the transmission of "blackhead" in turkeys--the common fowl as a source of infection. J. Med. Res. 41: 219-237.

Tyzzer, E. E.

1927 Enterohepatitis in turkeys and its transmission through the agency of <u>Heterakis</u> <u>vesicularis</u>. Proc. World's Poultry Cong. 3: 286.

Tyzzer, E. E.

1929 Coccidiosis in gallinaceous birds. Amer. J. Hyg. 10: 269-383.

Tyzzer, E. E.

1932 Problems and observations concerning transmission of blackhead infection in turkeys. Proc. Amer. Phil. Soc. 71: 407-410.

Tyzzer, E. E.

1934a Studies on histomoniasis or "blackhead" infection in the chicken and the turkey. Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts Sci. 69: 187-264.

Tyzzer, E. E.

1934b Loss of virulence in the protozoan of "blackhead", a fatal disease of turkeys, and the immunizing properties of attenuated strains. Science 78: 522-523. Tyzzer, E. E.

- 1936 A study of immunity produced by infection with attenuated culture-strains of <u>Histomonas</u> <u>meleagridis</u>. J. Comp. Path. Ther. 49: 285-303.
- Tyzzer, E. E. and J. Collier 1925 Induced and natural transmission of blackhead in the absence of <u>Heterakis</u>. J. Infect. Dis. 37: 265-276.
- Tyzzer, E. E. and M. Fabyan 1920 Further studies on "blackhead" in turkeys with special reference to transmission by inoculation. J. Infect. Dis. 27: 207-239.
- Tyzzer, E. E. and M. Fabyan 1922 A further inquiry into the source of the virus in blackhead of turkeys, together with observations on the administration of ipecac and of sulphur. J. Exp. Med. 35: 701-812.
- Venkataratnam, A. and M. J. Clarkson 1963 Effect of histomoniasis on the blood cells of the fowl. Res. Vet. Sci. 4: 603-607.
- Warner, N. L. and A. Szenberg 1962 Effect of neonatal thymectomy on the immune response in the chicken. Nature 196: 784-785.
- Warner, N. L., A. Szenberg and F. M. Burnet 1962 The immunological role of different lymphoid organs in the chicken. Austral. J. Exp. Biol. 40: 373-388.
- Weber, W. T. and W. P. Wendanz 1969 Prolonged bursal lymphocyte depletion and suppression of antibody formation following irradiation of the bursa of Fabricius. J. Immunol. 103: 537-543.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The counsel and patient guidance of Dr. R. L. Kemp, during this study, is gratefully acknowledged.

A special note of appreciation is extended to Dr. F. K. Ramsey for his interest and encouragement.

The author is also grateful to Dr. M. L. Kaeberle and Dr. W. W. Marion for their time and helpful suggestions.

The technical assistance of Mrs. Dille, Mrs. Devine, Mrs. Larson, Mrs. Owenson, Mrs. Yoder and Mrs. Weiss is appreciated.

Finally, I am grateful to my wife, Kay, for her patience and devotion and for the excellent typing of this manuscript.